

S. Guerre

Stable Banach spaces

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 9th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1981. pp. 64–67.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701226>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library <http://dml.cz>

NINTH WINTER SCHOOL ON ABSTRACT ANALYSIS (1981)

Stable Banach spaces

S. Guerre

A separable Banach space E is called stable if for every bounded sequences (x_n) and (y_m) in E and every ultrafilters $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ on $\mathbb{N}$, we have:

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \lim_{\mathcal{V}} \|x_n + y_m\| = \lim_{\mathcal{V}} \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|x_n + y_m\|.$$

This notion was first introduced by T.L. Krivine and B. Maurey ([5]) to extend a result of D. Aldous ([1]). These theorems are the following:

Theorem 1. ([1])

Every subspace of L^1 contains an l^p -space, $1 \leq p < +\infty$

Theorem 2. ([5])

Every stable Banach space contains an l^p -space, $1 \leq p < +\infty$

Examples.

- (1) Hilbert spaces, l^p - and L^p -spaces ($1 \leq p < +\infty$) Orlicz spaces l^ϕ and L^ϕ (ϕ having the s_2 -condition), Lorentz spaces $L^{p,\phi}$ are stable ([6]).
- (2) c_0 , the Tsirelson spaces T and T' , the James space J are not stable.

Property 1. ([5])

If E is stable, then every subspace of E and the spaces $l^p(E)$ and $L^p(E)$ with $1 \leq p < +\infty$ are stable

Open problem

If E is stable and reflexive, are E' and every quotient space of E stable?

Theorem 3. ([4])

Every stable Banach space is weakly sequentially complete

Corollary

If E is stable then E is reflexive if and only if E

does not contain 1^1

Sketch of the proof of theorem 3

We have to define some notions:

σ is a type on E if: $\exists (a_n) \in E, \exists \mathcal{U}$ ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$
such that: $\forall x \in E, \sigma(x) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|x + a_n\|$

The type $\lambda\sigma$ is defined by:

$$\forall x \in E, \lambda\sigma(x) = |\lambda| \sigma\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|x + \lambda a_n\|$$

The type $\sigma * \tau$ is defined by:

$$\forall x \in E, \sigma * \tau(x) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \lim_{\mathcal{V}} \|x + a_n + b_m\|$$

$$\text{if } \tau(x) = \lim_{\mathcal{V}} \|x + b_m\|$$

If (x_n) is a bounded sequence in E and $\mathcal{U}$ an ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$, we define the spreading-model associated to (x_n) and $\mathcal{U}$ by the completion of $E \times \mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ under the semi-norm:

$$\left\| x + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i 1_i \right\| = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \dots \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \left\| x + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_{n_i} \right\|$$

(See [2] or [3] for more details).

If (x_n) has no Cauchy subsequences, then this is a norm. In [2] it is proved that every sequence (x_n) has a "good subsequence" (x'_n) which means:

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k, \exists \nu \in \mathbb{N}$
such that:

$$\nu < n_1 \dots < n_k \Rightarrow \forall x \in E,$$

$$\left| \left\| x + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i e_i \right\| - \left\| x + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x'_{n_i} \right\| \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

(x_n) will be called a good sequence if it has the property of the subsequence (x'_n) above - (e_n) will be called the fundamental sequence of the spreading model

Relations between types and spreading models in stable Banach spaces

If σ is a type on E defined by (x_n) and $\mathcal{U}$, the spreading model associated to (x_n) and $\mathcal{U}$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i e_i \right\| &= \lim_{\substack{n_1 \\ \mathcal{U}}} \dots \lim_{\substack{n_k \\ \mathcal{U}}} \left\| x + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_{n_i} \right\| \\ &= (\alpha_1 \sigma * \dots * \alpha_k \sigma)(x). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if (e_n) is the fundamental sequence of a spreading model associated to (x_n) and $\mathcal{U}$, then the type σ is given by: $\sigma(x) = \|x + e_1\| = \lim_{\substack{n \\ \mathcal{U}}} \|x + x_n\|$

Property 2.

If E is stable then every fundamental sequence (e_n) is symmetric

$$\text{(i.e.: } \left\| x + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_{\sigma(i)} \right\| = \left\| x + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i \right\| \text{ where } \sigma$$

is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$).

We now give the proof of the theorem 3

Suppose (x_n) is a "good sequence", weakly Cauchy and not convergent in E . Let (e_n) be the fundamental sequence of the spreading model associated to (x_n) . It is easy to see that (e_n) is of "type 1_1^+ ", symmetric and basic, so it is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_1^1 . Let $y_n = x_{2n+1} - x_{2n}$. Then (y_n) converges weakly to 0 and the fundamental sequence (f_n) of the spreading model associated to (y_n) is defined by $f_n = e_{2n+1} - e_{2n}$ and so is also equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_1^1 .

Let σ be the type defined by (y_n) [i.e.: $\sigma(x) = \lim_n \|x + y_n\| = \|x + f_1\|$] and K be the closure under the pointwise topology of $\{\tau, \tau = \alpha_1 \sigma * \dots * \alpha_k \sigma, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})}, \tau(0) = 1\}$.

We can show that if $\tau \in K$, then the spreading model associated with τ is equivalent to 1_1^1 . We know from [5], that K contains an $1P$ -type τ_0 .

$$[1.e.: \alpha_1 \tau_0 * \dots * \alpha_k \tau_0(x) = (|\alpha_1|^p + \dots + |\alpha_k|^p)^{1/p} \tau_0(x)].$$

So we must have $p=1$. It is easy to see, by a diagonal argument that τ_0 is defined by a sequence of convex blocks $(\mathcal{U}_n)$ on (y_n) . The sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)$ converges weakly to 0 [because (y_n) does] and by [5] contains a sequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of l^1 .

This is a contradiction and proves the theorem 3. We give some more results on stable Banach spaces. The proof of the theorem 4 is very close to the proof of theorem 3.

Theorem 4. ([4])

Every spreading model of a stable Banach space E is stable.

If a spreading model of a stable Banach space E contains an l^p -space $(1 \leq p < +\infty)$, then E itself contains l^p .

No spreading model of a stable Banach space E contains c_0 .

Open problem

Find an "isomorphic" characterization of stable Banach spaces.

References

- [1] D. Aldous: Subspaces of l^1 via Random measure, preprint
- [2] A. Brunel, L. Sucheston: On B-convex Banach spaces, Math. System theory - Vol. 7, N^o. 4 (1973)
- [3] S. Guerre, J.T. Lapesté: