

Pavla Vrbová

Quadratic functionals and bilinear forms

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 98 (1973), No. 2, 159--161

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108473>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

QUADRATIC FUNCTIONALS AND BILINEAR FORMS

PAVLA VRBOVÁ, Praha

(Received June 16, 1971)

Let X be a vector space over the complex field. Let B be a bilinear form on X , i.e. a function defined on $X \times X$ which is linear in the first variable and conjugate-linear in the second variable. Let Q be the function on X defined by the formula $Q(x) = B(x, x)$; it is easy to see that the function Q possesses the following two properties

$$1^\circ Q(x + y) + Q(x - y) = 2Q(x) + 2Q(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

$$2^\circ Q(\lambda x) = |\lambda|^2 Q(x) \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and all complex } \lambda.$$

A function defined on X which satisfies conditions 1° and 2° will be called a quadratic functional on X . An obvious question presents itself: are the properties 1° and 2° characteristic for quadratic forms generated by bilinear forms? In other words, given a quadratic functional Q , does there exist a bilinear form B such that $Q(x) = B(x, x)$? In the papers [1] and [2], S. Kurepa proved that the answer is affirmative and that the corresponding result for vector spaces over the real field is false.

In the present note we prove a lemma concerning a certain functional equation; this lemma is then used to obtain a simple proof of the fact that every quadratic functional is generated by a bilinear form.

Lemma. *Let f be an additive complex-valued function of a complex variable which satisfies $f(\lambda) = -|\lambda|^2 f(1/\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \neq 0$. Then $f(\lambda) = f(i) \operatorname{Im} \lambda$.*

Proof. Let t be a real number such that $|t| \leq 1$. Let us show that $f(t) = 0$. Choose a real s such that $t^2 + s^2 = 1$ and set $\lambda = t + is$. It follows that $f(t) + f(is) = f(\lambda) = -|\lambda|^2 f(1/\lambda) = -f(t) + f(is)$ whence $f(t) = 0$. If $|t| > 1$, we have $|1/t| < 1$ whence $f(t) = -t^2 f(1/t) = 0$. Consider now a real number s with $0 < s \leq 1$. Choose a real number t such that $t^2 + s^2 = s$ and set $\lambda = t + is$. It follows that $f(is) = f(\lambda) = -|\lambda|^2 f(1/\lambda) = -sf(t/s - i) = sf(i)$. If $s > 1$ we have $f(is) = -s^2 f(1/is) = s^2 f(i/s) = sf(i)$. Since f is additive, the equation $f(is) = sf(i)$ holds for $s \leq 0$ as well. The proof is complete.

Theorem. Let Q be a quadratic functional defined on a vector space X over the complex field. Then there exists a (unique) bilinear form B on X such that $Q(x) = B(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Set $\varphi(x, y) = Q(x + y) - Q(x - y)$ and let us prove that φ is additive in the first variable. Using the relation 1° four times, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_1 + x_2, y) &= Q(x_1 + x_2 + y) - Q(x_1 + x_2 - y) = 2Q(x_1 + y) + 2Q(x_2) - \\ &\quad - Q(x_1 + y - x_2) - Q(x_1 + x_2 - y) = \\ &= Q(x_1 + y) + Q(x_1 + y) + 2Q(x_2) - (Q(x_1 + y - x_2) + Q(x_1 + x_2 - y)) = \\ &= Q(x_1 + y) + (2Q(x_1) + 2Q(y) - Q(x_1 - y)) + 2Q(x_2) - (2Q(x_1) + \\ &\quad + 2Q(x_2 - y)) = \varphi(x_1, y) + (2Q(y) + 2Q(x_2)) - 2Q(x_2 - y) = \\ &= \varphi(x_1, y) + (Q(x_2 + y) + Q(x_2 - y)) - 2Q(x_2 - y) = \varphi(x_1, y) + \varphi(x_2, y). \end{aligned}$$

We observe next that condition 1° alone implies $Q(-x) = Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Indeed, it suffices to write down and subtract the equating 1° for the pair $x, 0$ and $0, x$. This implies $\varphi(y, x) = \varphi(x, y)$ for all x and y so that φ is additive in the second variable as well. Now set $B(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(\varphi(x, y) + i\varphi(x, iy))$ so that $B(x, x) = Q(x)$. Since φ is additive in both variables, the function B is additive in both variables as well.

Now we use condition 2°. First of all, it follows that φ satisfies the relation $\varphi(\lambda x, y) = |\lambda|^2 \varphi(x, y/\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \neq 0$.

Let us prove now that B satisfies the following relations

$$3^\circ \quad B(ix, y) = iB(x, y)$$

$$4^\circ \quad B(x, iy) = -iB(x, y)$$

Indeed, $4B(ix, y) = \varphi(ix, y) + i\varphi(ix, iy) = \varphi(x, -iy) + i\varphi(x, y) = i(\varphi(x, y) - i\varphi(x, -iy)) = i(\varphi(x, y) + i\varphi(x, iy)) = 4iB(x, y)$ which proves 3°. Furthermore, $4B(x, iy) = \varphi(x, iy) + i\varphi(x, -y) = \varphi(x, iy) - i\varphi(x, y) = -i(\varphi(x, y) + i\varphi(x, iy)) = -4iB(x, y)$.

With view to 3° and 4°, the proof will be complete if we show that

$$5^\circ \quad B(tx, y) = B(x, ty) = tB(x, y) \text{ for real } t.$$

Let x and y be fixed elements of X . Define a complex-valued function f of a complex variable as follows $f(\lambda) = B(\lambda x, y) - B(x, \lambda y)$. Clearly f is additive. Also, it is easy to check the relation

$$6^\circ \quad f(\lambda) = -|\lambda|^2 f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \text{ for } \lambda \neq 0.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
 4f(\lambda) &= 4(B(\lambda x, y) - B(x, \lambda y)) = \varphi(\lambda x, y) + i\varphi(\lambda x, iy) - (\varphi(x, \lambda y) + \\
 &\quad + i\varphi(x, i\lambda y)) = \\
 &= \varphi(\lambda x, y) + i\varphi(\lambda x, iy) - (\varphi(\lambda y, x) + i\varphi(i\lambda y, x)) = \\
 &= |\lambda|^2 \left[\varphi\left(x, \frac{y}{\lambda}\right) + i\varphi\left(x, i\frac{y}{\lambda}\right) - \left(\varphi\left(y, \frac{x}{\lambda}\right) + i\varphi\left(iy, \frac{x}{\lambda}\right)\right) \right] = \\
 &= |\lambda|^2 \left[4B\left(x, \frac{y}{\lambda}\right) - \left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}, y\right) + i\varphi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}, iy\right)\right) \right] = \\
 &= |\lambda|^2 \left(4B\left(x, \frac{y}{\lambda}\right) - 4B\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}, y\right) \right) = -4|\lambda|^2 f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

According to our lemma $f(\lambda) = f(i) \operatorname{Im} \lambda$. In particular, $f(t) = 0$ for real t so that $B(tx, y) = B(x, ty)$ for all real t . If $\lambda = it$, t real, we obtain

$$B(itx, y) - B(x, ity) = f(it) = tf(i) = t(B(ix, y) - B(x, iy));$$

using 3° and 4°, this yields $i(B(tx, y) + B(x, ty)) = 2itB(x, y)$ whence $2iB(tx, y) = 2itB(x, y)$ which proves 5° and completes the proof.

References

- [1] S. Kurepa: The Cauchy functional equation and scalar product in vector spaces, *Glasnik matematičko-fizički i astronomski* 19 (1964), 23–35.
- [2] S. Kurepa: Quadratic and sesquilinear functionals, *Glasnik matematičko-fizički i astronomski* 20 (1965), 79–92.

Author's address: 115 67 Praha 1, Žitná 25 (Matematický ústav ČSAV v Praze).