

Gerhard Winkler

A note on the extension of weak Radon measures on locally convex spaces to strong Radon measures

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Proceedings of the 11th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1984. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 3. pp. [381]–384.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701327>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A note on the extension of weak Radon measures on locally
convex spaces to strong Radon measures

Gerhard Winkler

Abstract: It is well-known that on a metrizable locally convex space any weak Radon probability measure has a strong extension. We show by an example that metrizability is essential. Further, we give a short proof of the classical result using a theorem of R.E. Johnson.

Let E be a separated locally convex vector space with topology τ , topological dual space E' and weak topology $\sigma(E, E')$. The weak Borel σ -algebra on a subset M of E - generated by the weak topology - is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_\sigma(M)$; the strong Borel σ -algebra - generated by τ - by $\mathcal{B}_\tau(M)$. A probability measure on $\mathcal{B}_\sigma(M)$ is called a weak Radon probability measure (w.R.p.m.) if it is Radon w.r.t. $M \cap \sigma(E, E')$ and a probability measure on $\mathcal{B}_\tau(M)$ is called a strong Radon probability measure (s.R.p.m.) if it is Radon w.r.t. $M \cap \tau$.

The following variant of theorems due to Phillips, Dunford-Pettis and Grothendieck is well-known:

1.Theorem: Let E be a metrizable locally convex space. Then any weak Radon probability measure on E has a unique extension to a strong Radon probability measure on E .

A rather lengthy proof is given in [3], p. 162-166. We give a short proof which was indicated to us by J.P.R. Christensen. It is based on a theorem of R.E. Johnson ([2]), which was generalized and supplied with a simpler proof by Christensen ([1]). As far as we know, there is no example in the literature showing that in theorem 1 metrizability is essential. We will present such an example below.

We state Johnsons theorem in a version sufficient for our needs. A proof is given in [1].

2. Theorem: Let X and Y be compact spaces. Assume further that X is the support of some Radon probability measure. Then:
if $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a separately continuous function, the set $\{f(x, \cdot) : x \in X\} \subset C(Y)$ is separable in the supremum norm.

The essential step in the proof of theorem 1 is

3. Proposition: Let E be a Banach space with norm topology τ and p a w.R.p.m. on E with weakly compact support C . Then:
a. the weak and strong Borel σ -algebra coincide on C ;
b. the space $(C, C \cap \tau)$ is Polish; in particular p is a s.R.p.m. on C .

Proof: Denote by B' the weak*-compact unit ball of E' . Apply theorem 2 to the evaluation map $f : C \times B' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x, \varphi) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$ to conclude that $\{f(x, \cdot) : x \in C\} \subset C(B')$ is separable in the sup-norm. Since the mapping $C \ni x \rightarrow f(x, \cdot) \in C(B')$ is an isometry, C itself is norm separable. Furthermore, C being weakly complete

is complete in the norm. As C is Polish, the weak and strong Borel σ -algebra coincide on C ([3], p. 101) and p is a s.R.p.m..

Proof of theorem 1: 1. Observe that a w.R.p.m. is concentrated on a countable union of pairwise disjoint weakly compact sets. Apply proposition 3 to get the conclusion for Banach spaces E . 2. Let now E be metrizable. We may assume that E is complete. Then E is isomorphic with the inverse limit of a sequence of Banach spaces E_i . A w.R.p.m. on E induces a projective system of w.R.p.m. p_i on the spaces E_i . Extend these measures according to part 1 of the present proof to s.R.p.m. q_i . The measures q_i form a projective system. The projective limit is a s.R.p.m. on E which gives us the desired extension.

Let us conclude with the announced example.

Example: Let I be an uncountable index set and for each $i \in I$ let E_i be a copy of $l^2(\mathbb{N})$; denote the norm topology by τ_i . Let further denote E the product of these spaces and τ the product topology. We construct a w.R.p.m. on E which has no strong extension.

The measure $\mu := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} \epsilon_n$, where ϵ_n is the point measure on the n -th unit vector of $l^2(\mathbb{N})$, is concentrated on a weakly compact set, but $\mu(K) < 1$ for every norm compact set K . Let μ_i be a copy of μ on E_i and C_i the weakly compact support of μ_i . For a finite subset J of I consider the product measure μ_J of the measures μ_j , $j \in J$, on $(\prod_{j \in J} E_j, \prod_{j \in J} \sigma(E_j, E_j^*))$. Let pr_J be the canonical projection on E which is weakly continuous.

The measures μ_J , $J \subset I$ finite, together with the projections form a projective system of measures; the limit p on $(E, \prod_{i \in I} \sigma(E_i, E'_i))$ exists since the μ_i have weakly compact support (cf. [3], p.75). Because $\sigma(E, E') = \prod_{i \in I} \sigma(E_i, E'_i)$, we have constructed a w.R.p.m. p on E .

It cannot be extended to a s.R.p.m., since $p(K) = 0$ for every τ -compact subset of E . In fact:

According to the choice of μ we have

$$\mu_i(\text{pr}_{\{i\}}[K]) < 1 \text{ for every } i \in I.$$

Since I is uncountable, at least countably many of these numbers are bounded away from 1. This implies

$$\inf\{\prod_{j \in J} \mu_j(\text{pr}_{\{j\}}[K]) : J \text{ finite subset of } I\} = 0,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} p(K) &< \inf\{p(\text{pr}_J^{-1}[\text{pr}_J[K]]) : J \subset I \text{ finite}\} = \\ &= \inf\{\mu_J(\text{pr}_J[K]) : J \subset I \text{ finite}\} < \\ &< \inf\{\prod_{j \in J} \mu_j(\text{pr}_{\{j\}}[K]) : J \subset I \text{ finite}\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

References:

- [1] J.P.R. Christensen: *Remarks on Namioka spaces and R. E. Johnson's theorem on the norm separability of the range of certain mappings.* Math. Scand 52(1983), 112-116
- [2] R.E. Johnson: *Separate continuity and measurability.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20(1969), 420-422
- [3] L. Schwartz: *Radon measures on arbitrary topological spaces and cylindrical measures.* Oxford University Press(1973)