

Ulrich Koschorke; Július Korbaš

The rank of vector fields of Grassmannian manifolds

In: Jarolím Bureš and Vladimír Souček (eds.): Proceedings of the Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1987. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 16. pp. [113]–117.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701414>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE RANK OF VECTOR FIELDS ON GRASSMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

by Ulrich Koschorke and Július Korbaš^Y

Introduction.

It is an old and central problem in topology to decide when a given vector bundle η over a manifold M allows a nowhere vanishing section. If η is the homomorphism bundle $\eta = \text{Hom}(\alpha, \beta)$ of vectorbundles α and β over M , the question can be refined considerably. Indeed, for each point x of M the fiber η_x consists of the linear maps from α_x to β_x , and it is natural to distinguish their rank (and not only whether they vanish or not). So we are lead to ask

Question. When does $\eta = \text{Hom}(\alpha, \beta)$ allow a section exceeding a given minimal rank everywhere?

This question is at the base of the singularity theory (cf. [Koschorke 2]) which has numerous applications in the theory of immersions, frame fields and other vector bundle monomorphisms (and more generally, wherever morphisms of a given minimal rank are studied).

The present paper is inspired by the observations that some very classical vector bundles have a canonical interpretation as a homomorphism bundle, and so our question applies. In particular, it is wellknown that the tangent bundle of the real Grassmann manifold $G_{m,p}$ of p -planes in $\mathbb{R}^m$ has such a form

$$TG_{m,p} \cong \underline{\text{Hom}}(\gamma, \gamma^\perp) ,$$

where $\gamma \in \underline{\mathbb{R}}^m$ is the canonical bundle over $G_{m,p}$, and $\gamma^\perp$ is its complement (for details see e.g. [Koschorke 1], p. 97). From a calculation of the Euler number it is known that $G_{m,p}$ carries a nowhere vanishing tangential vectorfield if and only if m is even and p is odd (and hence $G_{m,p}$ is an odd-dimensional manifold). Under these dimension assumptions we will actually construct a very This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

concrete "linear" vectorfield, study its geometry and deduce the following

Theorem. Let $p = 2r + 1$, $q = 2s + 1$ and $m = p + q$.

If $\binom{r+s}{r}$ or $\binom{r+s+1}{r}$ or $\binom{r+s+1}{r+1}$ is odd, then the real

Grassmannian $G_{m,p}$ allows no vector field v which, when considered as section in $\widetilde{\text{Hom}}(\gamma, \gamma^\perp)$, has rank > 1 everywhere. ■

So if we define the vectorfield rank of $G_{m,p}$ by

$$\text{rk}(G_{m,p}) = \max\{\text{rk}(v) \mid v \text{ tangential vectorfield on } G_{m,p}\}$$

where $\text{rk}(v) := \min\{\text{rank}(v_x : \gamma_x \rightarrow \gamma_x^\perp) \mid x \in G_{m,p}\}$, we see that

$$\text{rk}(G_{m,p}) = 0 \text{ if } p \text{ or } m-p \text{ are even}$$

and

$$\text{rk}(G_{m,p}) = 1 \text{ if } p \equiv m-p \equiv 1(2) \text{ and } \left(\frac{\frac{m-2}{2}}{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right) \text{ or } \left(\frac{\frac{m}{2}}{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right) \text{ or } \left(\frac{\frac{m}{2}}{\frac{p+1}{2}}\right) \text{ is odd.}$$

Remark. It follows from [Koschorke 2], proposition 5.3., that $\text{rk}(G_{p+q,p}) \leq 1$ whenever the "Hankel determinant" of Stiefel-Whitney classes

$$\det(w_{p-1-i+j}(\gamma \oplus \gamma))_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1} = \det(w_{q-1-i+j}(\gamma^\perp \oplus \gamma^\perp))_{1 \leq i, j \leq p-1}$$

is nontrivial. However, this criterium seems to require hard calculations which can be avoided by our explicit geometric approach. E.g. if m is even and $p=3$, or if $m \equiv 2(8)$ is even and $p=5$, we get from the theorem that $\text{rk}(G_{m,p}) = 1$. Already in the first case, where the Hankel determinant is simply $\bar{w}_k(\gamma)^4$ (with $k = \frac{m-4}{2}$), it takes a very involved computation to establish its nontriviality directly. ■

§ 1. The "linear" vector field v_A on $G_{m,p}$.

Throughout this paper let $p = 2r + 1$, $q = 2s + 1$ and $m = p + q = 2(r+s+1)$. So we can identify $\mathbb{R}^m$ with $\mathbb{C}^{r+s+1}$ and consider the composed vector bundle homomorphism

$$h : \gamma \subset G_{m,p} \times \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times A} G_{m,p} \times \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} \gamma$$

over the real Grassmannian $G_{m,p}$ where $A : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is just complex multiplication with $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Interpreted as a section of

the homomorphism bundle $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\gamma, \gamma^\perp) \cong \text{TG}_{m,p}$, h gives rise to a tangential vectorfield v_A on $G_{m,p}$ which we are going to study now.

Given any point $g \in G_{m,p}$, note first that the kernel of $h_g : g \subset \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} g^\perp$ is obviously $g_{\mathbb{C}} := g \cap i(g)$, the largest complex subspace of the real p -plane $g \subset \mathbb{R}^m = \mathbb{C}^{r+s+1}$. The locus of minimum rank of h is

$$N = \{g_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \ell \in G_{m,p} \mid g_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{r+s+1} \text{ complex } r\text{-plane}, \ell \subset (g_{\mathbb{C}})^\perp \text{ real line}\}$$

on this flag manifold h has rank 1.

For any point $g = g_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \ell \in N$, the resulting decomposition $\mathbb{R}^m = g_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \ell \oplus i\ell \oplus g_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp = g \oplus g^\perp$ gives rise to a chart

$$\psi : U = \{g' \in G_{m,p} \mid g' \text{ complementary to } g^\perp \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^m\} \longrightarrow L_{\mathbb{R}}(g, g^\perp)$$

defined by $\psi^{-1}(L) = \text{graph } L = (id, L)(g) \in U$ for $L \in L_{\mathbb{R}}(g, g^\perp)$, and similarly to trivializations of $\gamma|U$ and $\gamma^\perp|U$. Using these to calculate the tangent behaviour of v_A at $g \in N$, one sees that the principal part of $T_g(v_A)$, which is an endomorphism of $T_g(G_{m,p}) = L_{\mathbb{R}}(g_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \ell, g_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp \oplus (i\ell))$, is multiplication with $1 - \varepsilon$ on

$$L_\varepsilon(g_{\mathbb{C}}, g_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp) = \{L \in L_{\mathbb{R}}(g_{\mathbb{C}}, g_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp \mid i \circ L = \varepsilon \cdot L \circ i\}$$

for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

Next consider the manifold $\mathbb{CF}(r, s, 1)$ of complex flags $f \perp \mathbb{A} \perp \varepsilon \subset \mathbb{C}^{r+s+1}$ of the indicated dimensions, and denote by φ, κ and λ the corresponding vector bundles so that e.g. $\varphi \oplus \kappa \oplus \lambda = \underline{\mathbb{C}^{r+s+1}}$. We have a natural fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi : N & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{CF}(r, s, 1) \\ g_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \ell & \longrightarrow & (g_{\mathbb{C}}, g_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp, (\ell \oplus i\ell)) \end{array}$$

with fiber real projective line P^1 . Choose a generic section σ of the complex vector bundle $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi^*(\varphi), \pi^*(\kappa))$ over N and let $S \subset N$ denote its zero manifold. Extending σ to (a tubular neighbourhood of N in) $G_{m,p}$ and adding it to the "linear" section v_A of $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\gamma, \gamma^\perp) \cong \text{TG}_{m,p}$ studied above, we obtain a nondegenerate 1-morphism $u : \gamma \longrightarrow \gamma^\perp$ over all of $G_{m,p}$ (as we had seen above, v_A alone is not nondegenerate); the singularity of u , or in other words, the locus $\{g \in G_{m,p} \mid \text{rank } u_g = 1\}$, is precisely S .

Thus we can use the results of [Koschorke 2], in particular

proposition 5.3 and fact 9.7, to compute the dual class $\mathcal{D}(S) \in H^*(G_{m,p}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(S) &= \det(w_{q-1-i+j}(\gamma^\perp \oplus \gamma^\perp))_{1 \leq i, j \leq p-1} \\ &= \det(w_{p-1-i+j}(\gamma \oplus \gamma))_{1 \leq i, j \leq q-1}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\text{rk}(G_{m,p}) > 1$, i.e. if there exists a 2-morphism $\tilde{u} : \gamma \rightarrow \gamma^\perp$ (with empty locus of rank 1 points!), then S must be zero bordant in $\pi_*(G_{m,p})$.

In particular, we have the following logical implications:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rk}(G_{m,p}) > 1 &\xrightarrow{(1)} \mathcal{D}(S) \text{ vanishes in } H^*(G_{m,p}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\ &\xrightarrow{(2)} [S] = 0 \quad \text{in } H_*(G_{m,p}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\ &\xrightarrow{(3)} [S] = 0 \quad \text{in } H_*(N; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\ &\xrightarrow{(4)} w_{2rs}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi^*(\varphi), \pi^*(\kappa))) = 0 \text{ in } H^*(N; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\ &\xrightarrow{(5)} w_{2rs}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\varphi, \kappa)) = 0 \text{ in } H^*(\mathbb{C}F(r, s, 1); \mathbb{Z}_2).\end{aligned}$$

Here conclusions (1) and (2) follow from [Koschorke 2], fact 9.7; to see (3), note that the inclusion $N \subset G_{m,p}$ restricts γ to $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \lambda$ and hence induces an epimorphism in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -cohomology; (4) follows from the duality of the two classes under comparison; finally (5) follows from the theorem of Leray-Hirsch which shows that π^* is injective on $H^{2*}(-; \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Now, if the top Stiefel-Whitney class of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\varphi, \kappa)$ vanishes over $\mathbb{C}F(r, s, 1)$, so it is also trivial when restricted to the fiber $\mathbb{C}G_{r+s, r}$ or when multiplied with the Euler class of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\varphi, \lambda)$ of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda, \kappa)$. After relating $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\varphi, \kappa) | \mathbb{C}G_{r+s, r}$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\varphi, \varphi^\perp)$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\kappa^\perp, \kappa)$ to the tangent bundles of the complex Grassmannians $\mathbb{C}G_{r+s, r}$, $\mathbb{C}G_{r+s+1, r}$ and $\mathbb{C}G_{r+s+1, s}$ and after applying the theorem of Leray and Hirsch again repeatedly, we conclude in particular that the Euler numbers of these Grassmannians must be even if the Hankel determinant $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is to vanish. Since by counting Schubert cells (see [Milnor-Stasheff], §6) we always get

$$\chi(\mathbb{C}G_{m,p}) = \binom{m}{p},$$

this concludes the proof of the theorem stated in our introduction.

REFERENCES

- [Koschorke 1], "Infinite-Dimensional K-Theory and Characteristic Classes of Fredholm Bundle Maps", Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., (1970), 95-133.
- [Koschorke 2], "Vector Fields and Other Vector Bundle Morphisms - A Singularity Approach", Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 847, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1981, IV + 304 pp.
- [Leite-Miatello], "Linear Vector Fields on $\tilde{G}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ", Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 80, Number 4, (1980), 673-677.
- [Milnor-Stasheff], "Characteristic Classes", Ann. of Math. Studies, Nr. 76, Princeton Univ. Press, 1974.

ULRICH KOSCHORKE
UNIVERSITÄT-GESAMTHOCHSCHULE SIEGEN
FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK
HÖLDERLINSTR. 3
5900 SIEGEN - WEST GERMANY

JÚLIUS KORBAŠ
KATEDRA MATEMATIKY VŠDS
MARXA-ENGELSA 25
01088 ŽILINA
CZECHOSLOVAKIA