

Anton Dekrét

Vector fields and connection on fibred manifolds

In: Jarolím Bureš and Vladimír Souček (eds.): Proceedings of the Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1990. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 22. pp. [25]–34.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701459>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

VECTOR FIELDS AND CONNECTION ON FIBRED MANIFOLDS *

Anton Dekrét

If is known, see [1], [2], [3], that every differential equation of second order on a manifold M determines connections on TM . In [3] we have established the set $C^\infty TM$ of such vector fields on TM by which it is possible to construct connections on TM , we have found all natural differential operators of first order from $C^\infty TM$ into the space of all connections on TM . In this paper we generalise some of these constructions in the case of vector fields on fibred manifolds. All manifolds and maps are assumed to be smooth.

1. Tangent value 1-forms and connections on fibre manifolds,

Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow M$ be a fibred manifold. A TY -value 1-form ω on Y will be called fibred if $\omega(VY) \subset VY$. If (x^i, y^α) is a chart on Y then expression of a fibred 1-form is

$$\omega = a_j^i(x, y) dx^j \otimes \partial / \partial x^i + (a_1^\alpha(x, y) dx^1 + a_2^\alpha(x, y) dy^\beta) \otimes \partial / \partial y^\alpha.$$

Let $\pi_1: Z \rightarrow M$ be another fibred manifold. Denote by π^*Z the π -pull-back of Z , $\pi^*Z = Y \times_M Z$. Every fibred TY -valued form ω determines the forms $\omega_h: Y \rightarrow \pi^*(TM \otimes T^*M)$ and $\omega_v: Y \rightarrow VY \otimes V^*Y$, where $\omega_v(X) = \omega(X)$, $X \in VY$ and $\omega_h(X) = T\pi \cdot \omega(U)$ for $T\pi(U) = X$. In coordinates $\omega_h = a_j^i dx^j \otimes \partial / \partial x^i$, $\omega_v = a_\beta^\alpha dy^\beta \otimes \partial / \partial y^\alpha$.

A connection Γ on Y can also be viewed as a fibred TY -valued 1-form ω on Y such that $\omega_v = 0$ and $\omega_h = \text{id}_{\pi^*TM}$.

* This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

see [6]. This form will be denoted by Γ_h and called the horizontal form of Γ . In coordinates $\Gamma_h = dx^i \otimes \partial/\partial x^i + \Gamma_i^\alpha(x, y) dx^i \otimes \partial/\partial y^\alpha$ where the local functions Γ_i^α will be called the Christoffels of Γ .

Let ω be an arbitrary fibred 1-form on Y . To find the conditions for ω to determine a connection Γ on Y let us consider the linear morphism $\omega^0: VY \otimes T^*M \rightarrow VY \otimes T^*M$ of the expression $x \mapsto \omega_{\cdot} x - x \cdot \omega_h$, where the dot denotes the composition of the maps given by $x, \omega_{\cdot}, \omega_h$.

Lemma 1. Every fibred TY -valued 1-form ω on Y such that ω^0 is regular determines a connection on Y .

Proof. Consider the linear morphism $b_\omega: x \mapsto \omega \cdot x - x \cdot \omega_h$ on $TY \otimes T^*M$. It is of the expression

$$(1) \quad \bar{x}_t^i = a_j^i x_t^j - x_s^i a_t^s, \quad \bar{y}_t^\alpha = a_j^\alpha x_t^j + (a_\beta^\alpha x_t^\beta - x_s^\alpha a_t^s).$$

This means that if ω^0 is regular then there exists a unique $x_0 \in C^\infty TY \otimes T^*M$ such that $T\gamma \cdot x_0 = \text{id}_{\gamma^* TM}$ and $b_\omega(x_0) = 0$. By (1) the coordinates $(x_j^i = \delta_j^i, x_s^\alpha)$ of x_0 are $x_s^\alpha = -\phi_{s\beta}^{\alpha t} a_t^\beta$, where $\phi_{s\beta}^{\alpha t}$ are the components of the tensor field which is determined by the inverse map to ω^0 . Obviously x_0 is the horizontal form of the connection on Y with the Christoffels $\Gamma_s^\alpha = -\phi_{s\beta}^{\alpha t} \cdot a_t^\beta$. QED.

The connection determined by the form x_0 described in the proof of Lemma 1 will be denoted by Γ_ω . Let $C_r^\infty(T^*Y \otimes TY)$ be the space of all fibred TY -valued 1-forms ω on Y such that ω^0 is regular. Using the theory of natural fibre operators, see [5], it is easy to prove that only in the case of $\omega \in C_r^\infty(T^*Y \otimes TY)$ there is a natural fibre operator D of 0-order such that $D(\omega)$ is a connection on Y and that every 0-order natural operator from $C_r^\infty(T^*Y \otimes TY)$ into the space of all connections on Y is of the form $\omega \mapsto \Gamma_\omega$.

Lemma 2. Let ω be a fibred TY -valued 1-form on Y . Let $A_h = \{a_1^1, \dots, a_m^m\}$, $B_v = \{b_1^1, \dots, b_n^n\}$ be the spectras of the linear morphisms ω_h, ω_v at $y \in Y$. Then ω^0 is regular at

$y \in Y$ if and only if A_h and B_v are disjoint.

Proof. At $y \in Y$ there are bases in $V_y Y$ and in $(\pi^* TM)_y$ in which the matrices of ω_h and ω_v are of the Jordan's form, i.e. $\omega^0(x) = (b_{\alpha}^{\alpha} - a_1^1)x_1^{\alpha} + b_{\alpha+1}^{\alpha} x_1^{\alpha+1} - a_1^{i-1} x_{i-1}^{\alpha}$. Now, it is easy to see that ω^0 is regular if and only if $b_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \neq a_1^1$ for any values of α and i .

Corollaries. 1. If $\omega_h = 0$ or $\omega_v = 0$ then ω^0 is regular if and only if ω_v or ω_h is regular, respectively. In these cases according to (1) $\Gamma_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = a_{\beta}^{\alpha} a_1^{\beta}$, $a_{\beta}^{\alpha} \tilde{a}_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \delta_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$, or $\Gamma_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = a_t^{\alpha} \tilde{a}_i^t$, $a_t^i \tilde{a}_j^t = \delta_j^i$, respectively, are the Christoffels of Γ_{ω} .

2. If ω^0 is regular then at least one of the maps ω_h , ω_v is regular.

3. If ω_h or ω_v is regular then ω^0 is regular if and only if $\lambda = 1$ is not the eigenvalue of the linear operator $u \mapsto \omega_v \cdot u \cdot \tilde{\omega}_h$ or $u \mapsto \tilde{\omega}_v \cdot u \cdot \omega_h$, respectively, on $VY \otimes T^*M$.

2. (B, V) - structures

A fibred manifold $\pi: Y \rightarrow M$ is said to be a (B, V) -structure and denoted by $(Y, \mathcal{E})$ if there is a cross-section $\mathcal{E}: Y \rightarrow VY \otimes T^*M$. Throughout this paper, $\mathcal{E}$ is viewed both a VY -value 1-form on Y and a linear morphism from $\pi^* TM$ into VY over id_Y .

Let us recall the Frolicher-Nijenhuis bracket of two tangent vector valued forms which is in the case of 1-forms of the form, (see [4]), $[L, K](X, Y) = [LX, KY] + [LX, KY] + LK[X, Y] + KL[X, Y] - L[KX, Y] - L[X, KY] - K[LX, Y] - K[X, LY]$.

Let $\omega = a_j^i dx^j \otimes \partial / \partial x^i + (a_1^{\alpha} dx^1 + a_{\beta}^{\alpha} dy^{\beta}) \otimes \partial / \partial y^{\alpha}$ be a fibred TY -value 1-form on $(Y, \mathcal{E})$, $\mathcal{E} = \xi_1^{\alpha} dx^1 \otimes \partial / \partial y^{\alpha}$. Then $[\mathcal{E}, \omega]$ is called $\mathcal{E}$ -torsion of ω . In coordinates we get

$$(2) \quad [\mathcal{E}, \omega] = -a_{j,\beta}^1 \xi_s^{\beta} dx^j \wedge dx^s \otimes \partial / \partial x^1 + [(\xi_t^{\alpha} a_{j,s}^t - a_{j,\gamma}^{\alpha} \xi_s^{\gamma} - \xi_{j,t}^{\alpha} a_s^t - \xi_{j,\gamma}^{\alpha} a_s^{\gamma} + a_{\beta}^{\alpha} \xi_{j,s}^{\beta}) dx^j \wedge dx^s +$$

$$+ (\varepsilon_s^\alpha a_{j,\beta}^s + a_{\beta,j}^\alpha \varepsilon_j^s - \varepsilon_{j,\beta}^\alpha a_\beta^s + a_{\beta,j}^\alpha \varepsilon_{j\beta}^s) dx^j \wedge dy^\beta] \otimes$$

$$\otimes \partial / \partial y^\alpha,$$

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{2} [\varepsilon, \varepsilon] = \varepsilon_{j,\beta}^\alpha \varepsilon_s^\beta dx^s \wedge dx^j \otimes \partial / \partial y^\alpha,$$

Where we use throughout this paper the designations

$$\frac{\partial f_u}{\partial y^\alpha} = f_{u,\alpha}, \quad \frac{\partial f_u}{\partial x^j} = f_{u,j}. \text{ This is immediate from (2) that}$$

if ω is projectable then $[\varepsilon, \omega]$ is a VY-value 2-form and that the restriction of $[\varepsilon, \omega]$ to VY vanishes.

Remark 1. A vertical vector field Z on Y is called ε -basic if there is a vector field X on M such that $Z = \varepsilon(X)$. Let $v_1, v_2 \in T_x M$. Let X_1, X_2 be local vector fields on M such that $X_i(x) = v_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $\varepsilon(X_i)$ is a local ε -basic vector field on Y . Let $y \in Y_x$. Put $\varphi_y(v_1, v_2) = [\varepsilon(X_1), \varepsilon(X_2)]_y$. Calculating it and comparing with (3) we get $\varphi = \frac{1}{2} [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$. It means that $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon] = 0$ if and only if $[Z_1, Z_2] = 0$ for any ε -basic vector fields Z_1, Z_2 on Y .

3. Vector fields and connections on (Y, ε)

Let $X = c^i(x, y) \partial / \partial x^i + b^\alpha(x, y) \partial / \partial y^\alpha$ be a vector field on Y . Being a crosssection $Y \xrightarrow{X} TY$, X determines a linear morphism $X_B: \text{pr}_2^* V(T\pi \cdot X): VY \rightarrow TM, VY \rightarrow \pi^* TM, (x^i, y^\alpha, 0, dy^\alpha) \mapsto (x^i, dx^i = c^i, \beta dy^\beta)$, where $V(T\pi \cdot X)$ denotes the vertical prolongation of the map $T\pi \cdot X: Y \rightarrow TM$ and $\text{pr}_2^*: VTM \cong TM \times_M TM \rightarrow TM$ is the projection on the second factor. In the only case of a projectable vector field X on $Y, X_B = 0$.

The straightforward calculation of the Lie derivation of ε by X

$$(4) \quad L_X \varepsilon = -c_{\beta,j}^i \varepsilon_j^\beta dx^j \otimes \partial / \partial x^i + (A_1^\alpha dx^i + \varepsilon_j^\alpha c_{\beta,j}^i dy^\beta) \otimes \partial / \partial y^\alpha,$$

$$A_i^\alpha = \varepsilon_j^\alpha c_{i,j}^j + \varepsilon_{i,j}^\alpha c^j + \varepsilon_{i,\beta}^\alpha b^\beta - b_{,\beta}^\alpha \varepsilon_i^\beta$$

gives

Lemma 3. $L_X \varepsilon$ is a fibre TY-value 1-form on Y such that $(L_X \varepsilon)_h = -X_B \cdot \varepsilon$, $(L_X \varepsilon)_v = \varepsilon \cdot X_B$.

Denote by $C_\pi^\infty(Y, \varepsilon)$ the set of all vector fields X on (Y, ε) such that $L_X \varepsilon \in C_\pi^\infty(T^*Y \otimes TY)$, i.e. that $(L_X \varepsilon)^\circ$ is regular. If $X \in C_\pi^\infty(Y, \varepsilon)$, then Γ_X is the abbreviated notation for $\Gamma_{L_X \varepsilon}$. According to (1) the Christoffels of Γ_X satisfy

$$(5) \quad (\varepsilon_j^\alpha c_{,\beta}^u \delta_t^s + \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} c_{,\gamma}^s \varepsilon_t^\gamma) \Gamma_s^\beta = -A_t^\alpha.$$

If X is a projectable vector field on Y then $(L_X \varepsilon)^\circ = 0$ and $X \notin C_\pi^\infty(Y, \varepsilon)$. It is clear that if $X \in C_\pi^\infty(Y, \varepsilon)$ then $X + Z \in C_\pi^\infty(Y, \varepsilon)$ for any projectable vector field Z on Y, i.e. X is an operator $Z \mapsto \Gamma_{X+Z}$ from the space of all projectable vector fields Z into the space of all connection on Y. The expression $u \mapsto \varepsilon_j^\alpha c_{,\beta}^j u_s^\beta + u_j^\alpha c_{,\gamma}^j \varepsilon_s^\gamma$ of $(L_X \varepsilon)^\circ$ induces some special cases. If $\dim M < \dim Y_X$ then $\varepsilon \cdot X_B$ is not regular, i.e. $X \in C_\pi^\infty(Y, \varepsilon)$ implies that $X_B \cdot \varepsilon$ is regular. Certainly, if $X_B \cdot \varepsilon = \text{id}_{\pi^* TM}$ then $X \in C_\pi^\infty(Y, \varepsilon)$ if and only if the operator $u \mapsto \varepsilon \cdot X_B \cdot u$ on $VY \otimes T^*M$ has not the eigenvalue - 1. Quite analogously if $\dim M > \dim Y_X$ then $X_B \cdot \varepsilon$ is not regular and the regularity of $\varepsilon \cdot X_B$ is a necessary condition for X to belong to $C_\pi^\infty(Y, \varepsilon)$.

Example 1. There is the canonical (B, V) -structure on TM given by the canonical morphism $\varepsilon = dx^i \otimes \partial/\partial x_1^i$ on TM with a chart (x^i, x_1^i) . In this case $(L_X \varepsilon)_h = -X_B = -(L_X \varepsilon)_v$. Then, by Lemma 2, $X \in C_\pi^\infty(TM, \varepsilon)$ if and only if X_B is regular.

Let $\dim M = \dim Y_X$. Let $\varepsilon: \pi^* TM \rightarrow VY$ be an isomorphism. A vector field X on (Y, ε) is said to be conjugated with ε if $X_B = \varepsilon^{-1}$. There is an isomorphism ε that does not admit a vector field conjugated with ε . To show it we constructe an object ε_v^{-1} . Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_i^\alpha dx^i \otimes \partial/\partial y^\alpha$ be an isomorphism. Then $\varepsilon^{-1}: VY \rightarrow TM$ is a morphism over π . Its expression in charts $(x^i, y^\alpha, 0, y^\alpha)$ on VY and (x^i, x_1^i) on TM is $\bar{x}^i = x^i$,

$x_1^i = \tilde{\varepsilon}^i \gamma^\alpha$, where $\varepsilon_i^\alpha \tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha^i = \delta_i^\alpha$. Let $V\varepsilon^{-1}$ be the vertical differential of ε^{-1} according to the submersion $VY \rightarrow M$, $V\varepsilon^{-1}(x^i, y^\alpha, 0, \tau^\alpha, dx^i = 0, dy^\alpha, 0, d\tau^\alpha) = (x^i, dx_1^i = \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, \beta}^i \tau^\alpha dy^\beta + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha^i d\tau^\alpha)$. Recall the canonical involution $i_2(x^i, y^\alpha, f^i, \tau^\alpha, dx^i, dy^\alpha, df^i, d\tau^\alpha) = (x^i, y^\alpha, dx^i, dy^\alpha, f^i, \tau^\alpha, df^i, d\tau^\alpha)$ on TTY. Then $V\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot i_2(x^i, y^\alpha, 0, \tau^\alpha, dx^i = 0, dy^\alpha, 0, d\tau^\alpha) = (x^i, dx_1^i = \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, \beta}^i dy^\alpha \tau^\beta + \varepsilon_\alpha^i d\tau^\alpha)$. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in VY$. There is $\tau \in VVY$ such that $p_{TY}(\tau) = \gamma_1$, $p_{TY}(i_2 \tau) = \gamma_2$, where $p_{TY}: TTY \rightarrow TY$ is the tangent projection. Put $\varepsilon_v^{-1}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) := (V\varepsilon^{-1} - V\varepsilon^{-1}i_2)(\tau) = (x^i, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, \beta}^i (\tau_1^\alpha \tau_2^\beta - \tau_2^\alpha \tau_1^\beta))$, i.e. $\varepsilon_v^{-1} \in C^\infty(\wedge^2 VY \otimes TM)$.

Lemma 4. Let X be vector field conjugated with ε . Then $\tilde{\varepsilon}_v^{-1} = 0$.

Proof. Let $X = c^i \partial / \partial x^i + b^\alpha \partial / \partial y^\alpha$. Then $c_{, \alpha}^i = \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}^i$ and thus $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha, \beta}^i = \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\beta, \alpha}^i$. It completes our proof.

Lemma 5. If a vector field is conjugated with ε then $X \in C_r^\infty(Y, \varepsilon)$.

Proof. In this case $(L_X \varepsilon)^0 = 2 \text{id}_{VY} \otimes T^*M$ is regular. QED.

If X is conjugated with ε then by (5) the Christoffels of the connection Γ_X are of the simple form $\Gamma_i^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2} A_i^\alpha$, in virtue of (4) $(\text{id}_{TY} - L_X \varepsilon)/2$ is the horizontal form of Γ_X and ε -torsion of Γ_X , (i.e. $[\varepsilon, L_X \varepsilon]$ is a VY -value 1-form of the expression

$$(6) \quad [\varepsilon, L_X \varepsilon] = (-A_{j, \gamma}^\alpha \varepsilon_s^\gamma + 2 \varepsilon_{j, s}^\alpha - \varepsilon_{j, \gamma}^\alpha A_s^\gamma) dx^j \wedge dx^s \otimes \partial / \partial y^\alpha.$$

Proposition 1. If X is a vector field on Y such that $X_B: VE \rightarrow \pi^* TM$ is an isomorphism then X determines a connection on Y .

Proof. Denote $\varepsilon = X_B^{-1}$. It is clear that X is conjugated with the (B, V) -structure (Y, ε) . Then Lemma 5 completes our proof.

If $X = c^i \partial / \partial x^i + b^\alpha \partial / \partial y^\alpha$ is such that X_B is an isomorphism then $\Gamma_j^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2} A_i^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{c}_j^\alpha c_{,i}^j + \tilde{c}_{i,j}^\alpha c^j + \tilde{c}_{i,\beta}^\alpha b^\beta - b_{,\beta}^\alpha \tilde{c}_i^\beta)$ are the Christoffels of Γ_X on $(Y, \mathcal{E} = X_B^{-1})$, where $\tilde{c}_j^\alpha c_{,\alpha}^k = \delta_j^k$. This means that the map $X \mapsto \Gamma_X$ is an operator of second order from the space of all vector fields X on Y such that X_B is an isomorphism into the space of all connections on Y .

4. Special (B, V) -structure on vector bundles.

Let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle. The canonical identification $VE \equiv Ex_M E$ states by every E -valued 1-form $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ on M a (B, V) structure $(E, \mathcal{E})$, (called projectable), where $\mathcal{E}(y, v) = (y, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(v))$, $y \in E$, $v \in T\pi(y)M$. In coordinates, $\mathcal{E} = c_i^\alpha(x) dx^i \otimes \partial / \partial y^\alpha$. In this case according to (3) $[\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}] = 0$.

Let $(E, \mathcal{E})$ be a projectable (B, V) -structure such that $\mathcal{E}: \pi^* TM \rightarrow VE$ is an isomorphism. A vector field $X = c^i(x, y) \partial / \partial x^i + b^\alpha(x, y) \partial / \partial y^\alpha$ on E is conjugated with $\mathcal{E}$ if and only if $c_{,\beta}^i = \tilde{c}_{/\beta}^i(x)$, $\tilde{c}_{/\beta}^i \mathcal{E}_j^\beta = \delta_j^i$, i.e. if and only if $c^i = \tilde{c}_{/\beta}^i(x) y^\beta + \chi^i(x)$. Let $L = y^\alpha \partial / \partial y^\alpha$ be the Liouville vector field on E . We immediately get

Proposition 2. Let X be a vector field on a projectable (B, V) -structure $(E, \mathcal{E})$. Then $[V, X]$ is conjugated with $\mathcal{E}$ and every vector field on E conjugated with $\mathcal{E}$ is of the form $X + Z$, where $\mathcal{E}(X) = V$ and Z is a projectable vector field on E .

Proposition 3. Let X be a vector field on a projectable (B, V) -structure $(E, \mathcal{E})$ conjugated with $\mathcal{E}$. Then the connection Γ_X is without $\mathcal{E}$ -torsion, i.e. $[\mathcal{E}, L_X \mathcal{E}] = 0$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{E}_j^\alpha c_{,\gamma}^j = c_{/\beta}^\alpha$ therefore $\mathcal{E}_{j,s}^\alpha c_{,\gamma}^j = -\mathcal{E}_{j,\gamma}^\alpha c_{,s}^j = -\mathcal{E}_{j,\gamma}^\alpha c_{,s}^j$. Then by (4) $A_{i\gamma}^\alpha = -\mathcal{E}_{j,i}^\alpha c_{,\gamma}^j + \mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\alpha c_{,\gamma}^j - b_{,\beta}^\alpha \mathcal{E}_i^\beta$. With respect to (6) we have $[\mathcal{E}, L_X \mathcal{E}] = (\mathcal{E}_{s,i} - \mathcal{E}_{i,s} + b_{,\beta}^\alpha \mathcal{E}_i^\beta \mathcal{E}_s^\gamma + 2 \mathcal{E}_{i,s}^\alpha) dx^i \wedge dx^s \otimes \partial / \partial y^\alpha = 0$.

Remark 2. Let X be a vector field on E such that $X_B: VE \rightarrow \pi^* TM$ is an isomorphism and $(E, \mathcal{E} = X_B^{-1})$ is projectable. Then X is conjugated with $\mathcal{E}$ and by virtue of Proposition 3

Γ_X is without $\mathcal{E}$ -torsion.

Example 2. Let us return to example 1. The canonical (B, V) -structure $(TM, \mathcal{E} = dx^i \otimes \partial/\partial x_1^i)$ is projectable and it is induced by $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \text{id}_{TM}$. If V is the Liouville field on TM then a vector field X on TM such that $\mathcal{E}(X) = V$ is a differential equation of second order on M . Therefore we can reformulate Proposition 2 in the following way.

Proposition 4. A vector field X on TM is conjugated with the canonical morphism $\mathcal{E} = dx^i \otimes \partial/\partial x_1^i$ if and only if it is of the form $U + Z$, where U is a differential equation of second order on M and Z is a projectable vector field on TM .

In coordinates, X is conjugated with $dx^i \otimes \partial/\partial x_1^i$ if and only if $X = (x_1^i + Z^i(x)) \partial/\partial x^i + b^i(x, x_1) \partial/\partial x_1^i$. Then the Christoffels of Γ_X are $\Gamma_j^i = -\frac{1}{2} A_j^i$

$$(7) \quad \Gamma_j^i = -\frac{1}{2} A_j^i = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial z^i / \partial x^j - \partial b^i / \partial x_1^j).$$

It coincides with $[1]$, $[2]$ for X being a differential equation of second order on M .

Let $Z = a^i(x) \partial/\partial x^i$ be a vector field on M . Then $TZ = a^i \partial/\partial x^i + \frac{\partial a^i}{\partial x^j} x_1^j \partial/\partial x_1^i$ is the T -prolongation of Z on

TM . It is a projectable vector field on TM .

Proposition 5. Let X be a differential equation of second order on M . Let Z be a vector field on M . Then $\Gamma_{X+TZ} = \Gamma_X$.

Proof. Let $X = x_1^i \partial/\partial x^i + b^i(x, x_1) \partial/\partial x_1^i$, $Z = a^i \partial/\partial x^i$. Then by (7) $\Gamma_j^i = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial b^i}{\partial x_1^j}$ are the Christoffels of both Γ_{X+TZ} and Γ_X .

Another special (B, V) -structures on TM can be constructed as follows. Let X be a vector field on $p_M: TM \rightarrow M$ such that $X_B: VTM \rightarrow p_M^* TM$ is an isomorphism. Since $VTM \cong TM \times_M TM \cong p_M^* TM$ there are two (B, V) -structures on TM both (TM, X_B^{-1}) and (TM, X_B) . We say that X is 2-homothetic if $X_B^2 = t \cdot \text{id}_{VTM}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Every vector field $X = tW + Z$ where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, W is a differential equation of second order and Z is a projectable

vector field on TM is 2-homothetic. In coordinates, $X = c^i(x, x_1) \partial / \partial x^i + b^i(x, x_1) \partial / \partial x_1^i$ is 2-homothetic iff $(\partial c^i / \partial x_1^s)(\partial c^s / \partial x^k) = t \delta_k^i$. Then using (3) or (2) we get, respectively:

Lemma 6. If X is 2-homothetic then $[X_B, X_B] = 0$.

Proposition 6. Let X be a 2-homothetic vector field on TM. Let W be a vector field on TM conjugated with X_B . Then the connection Γ_W is without X_B -torsion.

Example 3. $\pi: T^*M \rightarrow M$.

Let (x^i, z_i) be a chart in T^*M . Then $V = z_i \partial / \partial z_i$, $\lambda = z_i dx^i$, $d\lambda = dz^i \wedge dx^i$ are the Liouville field, the Liouville form, the canonical symplectic form on T^*M .

Let $(T^*M, \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{ij}(x, z) dx^i \otimes \partial z_j)$ be a (B, V) -structure on T^*M . If $\mathcal{E}: \pi^*TM \rightarrow VT^*M$ is an isomorphism and $X = c^i(x, z) \partial / \partial x^i + b_i(x, z) \partial / \partial z_i$ is a vector field on T^*M conjugated with $\mathcal{E}$ then X determines both the connection Γ_u the Christoffels of which are $\Gamma_{ij}^u = -\frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{E}_{is} c_j^s + \mathcal{E}_{ij, s} c^s + \mathcal{E}_{ij}^s b_s - b_i^s \mathcal{E}_{sj})$, where $f^s = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_s}$, and the connection $d\lambda$ - orthogonal to Γ_u the Christoffels of that are $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij} = \Gamma_{ji}$.

We say that $\mathcal{E}$ is symmetric if for any $X, Y \in \pi^*TM$ $d\lambda(\mathcal{E}X, Y) = d\lambda(\mathcal{E}Y, X)$, $\mathcal{E}_{ij} = \mathcal{E}_{ji}$.

If $\mathcal{E}$ is an isomorphism then we can construct a function on T^*M as follows. Let X be an arbitrary vector field on T^*M such that $\mathcal{E}(X) = V$. Put $H_{\mathcal{E}} := d\lambda(V, X)$. In coordinates $H_{\mathcal{E}} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{ij} z_i z_j$, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{is} \mathcal{E}_{sj} = \delta_j^i$.

Let $(T^*M, \mathcal{E})$ be projectable and regular, i.e. $\mathcal{E}$ is given by an isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}: TM \rightarrow T^*M$, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}_{ij}(x) dx^i \otimes dx^j$. By virtue of Proposition 2 every vector field on T^*M conjugated with $\mathcal{E}$ is of the form $W = (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{ik} z_k + \gamma^i(x)) \partial / \partial x^i + b_i \partial / \partial z_i$, i.e. $W = T\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(X)$ where X is a vector field on TM conjugated with the canonical (B, V) -structure $(TM, dx^i \otimes \partial / \partial x_1^i)$.

It is easy to verify that the vector field X on T^*M satisfying the equation $i_X d\lambda = \mathcal{H} dH_{\mathcal{E}}$, where $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{R}$ and i_X denotes the usual insertion operator, is conjugated with $\mathcal{E}$ if and only if $\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is symmetric. Then the connec-

tion ∇_X is the just connection induced on T^*M by the Levi-Civita connection on TM determined by the regular symmetric bilinear form $\bar{g}$ on M .

REFERENCES

- [1] CRAMPIN M. « Alternative lagrangians in particle dynamics », Proc. Conf. on Diff. Geometry and Applications in Brno, D. Reidel Pub. Company, (1986), 243 - 269.
- [2] DEKRÉT A. « Mechanical structures and connection », to appear in Proc. Conf. on Diff. Geometry in Dubrovnik.
- [3] DEKRÉT A. « Vector fields and connections on TM », to appear.
- [4] FROLICHER A., NIJENHUIS A. « Theory of vector valued differential forms. Part I: Derivation in the graded ring of differential forms », Indag. Math., 18 (1956), 338 - 385.
- [5] KOLÁŘ I. « Some natural operators in differential geometry », Proc. Conf. on Diff. Geometry and Application in Brno, D. Reidel Pub. Company, (1986), 91 - 110.
- [6] MODUGNO M. « New results on the theory of connections: Systems, over connections and prolongations », Proc. Conf. on Diff. Geometry and Application in Brno, D. Reidel Pub. Company, (1986), 91 - 110.

ANTON DEKRÉT

VŠLD

MARXOVA 24

960 53 ZVOLEN

CZECHOSLOVAKIA