

Bogdan Balcerzak

Classification of endomorphisms of some Lie algebroids up to homotopy and the fundamental group of a Lie algebroid

In: Jan Slovák and Martin Čadek (eds.): Proceedings of the 18th Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1999. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 59. pp. 89–101.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701628>

### Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

# CLASSIFICATION OF ENDOMORPHISMS OF SOME LIE ALGEBROIDS UP TO HOMOTOPY AND THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF A LIE ALGEBROID

BOGDAN BALCERZAK

**ABSTRACT.** The notion of a homotopy joining two homomorphisms of Lie algebroids comes from J. Kubarski [3]. Firstly, in the present paper we investigate this notion in the case of endomorphisms of the trivial Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  with the isotropy algebra  $\mathbb{R}$  and characterize its homotopic endomorphisms. Secondly, for any regular Lie algebroid  $A$ , we introduce the notion of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(A)$  as the group of classes of homotopic automorphisms of  $A$  and, finally, obtain that  $\pi_1(T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{GL}(\mathbb{R})$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We begin by recalling the notions of a regular Lie algebroid and of a homomorphism of Lie algebroids. These are fundamental notions in this work.

**1.1. Definition of a regular Lie algebroid on a foliated manifold.** Let  $F$  be a smooth, constant-dimensional and involutive distribution on a smooth, paracompact, connected and Hausdorff manifold  $M$ . The pair  $(M, F)$  is called a *foliated manifold*.

**Definition 1.1.** [6], [7] By a *regular Lie algebroid on a foliated manifold*  $(M, F)$  we mean a system

$$(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \gamma)$$

where  $A$  is a vector bundle over the manifold  $M$ ,  $[\cdot, \cdot] : \text{Sec } A \times \text{Sec } A \rightarrow \text{Sec } A$  is a Lie algebra product on the module  $\text{Sec } A$  of global cross-sections of a vector bundle  $A$  and  $\gamma : A \rightarrow TM$  is a vector bundle map (called an *anchor*) such that

1.  $\text{Im } \gamma = F$ ,
2. the mapping  $\text{Sec } \gamma : \text{Sec } A \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ,  $\xi \mapsto \gamma \circ \xi$ , is a homomorphism of Lie algebras,
3.  $[\xi, f \cdot \eta] = f \cdot [\xi, \eta] + (\gamma \circ \xi)(f) \cdot \eta$  for any  $\xi, \eta \in \text{Sec } A$  and  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ .

In the case when  $F = TM$  (i.e.  $\gamma : A \rightarrow TM$  is a surjective homomorphism of vector bundles), the algebroid  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \gamma)$  is called a *transitive Lie algebroid*.

**Example 1.1.** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Any smooth, constant-dimensional and involutive distribution  $F \subset TM$  is an example of a nontransitive Lie algebroid with Lie bracket  $[X, Y]$  of vector fields as a commutator  $[X, Y]$  and the inclusion  $\iota : F \hookrightarrow TM$  as an anchor.

**Example 1.2.** [5] Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold and  $\mathfrak{g}$  a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -Lie algebra. Then  $TM \times \mathfrak{g}$  is a transitive Lie algebroid with the canonical projection  $\text{pr}_1 : TM \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow TM$  as an anchor and with the bracket

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \text{Sec}(TM \times \mathfrak{g}) \times \text{Sec}(TM \times \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Sec}(TM \times \mathfrak{g})$$

satisfying the relation

$$[(X, \sigma), (Y, \eta)] = ([X, Y], \mathcal{L}_X \eta - \mathcal{L}_Y \sigma + [\sigma, \eta])$$

for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ,  $\sigma, \eta \in C^\infty(M; \mathfrak{g})$ .

### 1.2. The notion of a homomorphism of Lie algebroids.

**Definition 1.2.** [7], [5] Let  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \gamma)$  and  $(A', [\cdot, \cdot]', \gamma')$  be two regular Lie algebroids on the same foliated manifold  $(M, F)$  and let  $H : A' \rightarrow A$  be a vector bundle map (over  $\text{id}_M : M \rightarrow M$ ). Then  $H$  is said to be a *strong homomorphism of Lie algebroids* if the following relations hold:

1.  $\gamma \circ H = \gamma'$ ,
2. the mapping  $\text{Sec } H : \text{Sec } A' \rightarrow \text{Sec } A$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras.

**Definition 1.3.** [1], [2] Let  $(A', [\cdot, \cdot]', \gamma')$  and  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \gamma)$  be two Lie algebroids on manifolds  $M'$  and  $M$ , respectively. By a *homomorphism between them*

$$H : (A', [\cdot, \cdot]', \gamma') \longrightarrow (A, [\cdot, \cdot], \gamma)$$

we mean a homomorphism of vector bundles  $H : A' \rightarrow A$  (over  $f : M' \rightarrow M$ ) such that:

1.  $\gamma \circ H = f_* \circ \gamma'$ ,
2. for arbitrary cross-sections  $\xi, \xi' \in \text{Sec } A'$  with  $H$ -decompositions

$$H \circ \xi = \sum_i f^i \cdot (\eta_i \circ f),$$

$$H \circ \xi' = \sum_j g^j \cdot (\eta_j \circ f)$$

where  $f^i, g^j \in C^\infty(M')$ ,  $\eta_i, \eta_j \in \text{Sec } A$ , we have relation

$$\begin{aligned} H \circ [\xi, \xi'] &= \sum_{i,j} f^i \cdot g^j \cdot ([\eta_i, \eta_j] \circ f) + \\ &+ \sum_j (\gamma' \circ \xi) (g^j) \cdot (\eta_j \circ f) - \sum_i (\gamma' \circ \xi') (f^i) \cdot (\eta_i \circ f). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.1.** In the case of Lie algebroids  $A$  and  $A'$  on the same manifold  $M$ , the notion of a homomorphism  $H : A' \rightarrow A$  (over the identity mapping  $\text{id}_M : M \rightarrow M$ ) is equivalent to the one given in definition 1.2.

### 1.3. The inverse image of a regular Lie algebroid.

**Definition 1.4.** [2] Let  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \gamma)$  be a regular Lie algebroid on a foliated manifold  $(M, F)$  and let  $f : (M', F') \rightarrow (M, F)$  be a morphism of the category of foliated manifolds. The *inverse image of  $A$  by  $f$*  is a regular Lie algebroid on  $(M', F')$

$$(f^* A, [\cdot, \cdot]^\wedge, \text{pr}_1)$$

where we have

1.  $f^* A = \{(v, w) \in F' \times A : f_*(v) = \gamma(w)\} \subset F' \oplus f^* A,$

2. the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]^\wedge$  in  $\text{Sec } f^*A$  is defined in the following way: let  $(X_1, \bar{\xi}_1), (X_2, \bar{\xi}_2) \in \text{Sec } f^*A$  be two cross-sections of  $f^*A$ , where  $X_i \in \text{Sec } F', \bar{\xi}_i \in \text{Sec } f^*A$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then, for each point  $x \in M'$ , there exists an open subset  $U \subset M'$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $(\bar{\xi}_i)|_U$  is of the form  $\sum_j g_i^j \cdot (\xi_i^j \circ f)$  for some  $g_i^j \in C^\infty(M')$  and  $\xi_i^j \in \text{Sec } A$ . Then we put

$$[(X_1, \bar{\xi}_1), (X_2, \bar{\xi}_2)]^\wedge|_U = \left( [X_1, X_2], \sum_{j,k} g_1^j \cdot g_2^k \cdot ([\xi_1^j, \xi_2^k] \circ f) + \sum_k X_1(g_2^k) \cdot (\xi_2^k \circ f) - \sum_j X_2(g_1^j) \cdot (\xi_1^j \circ f) \right)|_U.$$

**Theorem 1.1.** [2] *Any homomorphism of regular Lie algebroids  $H : A' \rightarrow A$  over  $f : (M', F') \rightarrow (M, F)$  may be represented as a superposition*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A' & \\ \bar{H} \swarrow & & \searrow H \\ f^*A & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & A \end{array}$$

of a homomorphism  $\bar{H} : A' \rightarrow f^*A$  defined by

$$\bar{H}(v) = (\gamma'(v), H(v)) \text{ for each } v \in A' \quad (1.1)$$

with the canonical one  $\text{pr}_2 : f^*A \rightarrow A$ . ■

**Theorem 1.2.** [2] *Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be two regular Lie algebroids on foliated manifolds  $(M', F')$  and  $(M, F)$ , respectively. Let  $H : A' \rightarrow A$  be a homomorphism of vector bundles over  $f : (M', F') \rightarrow (M, F)$ . Then  $H$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebroids if and only if*

1.  $\gamma \circ H = f_* \circ \gamma'$ ,
2. the mapping  $\bar{H} : A' \rightarrow f^*A$  defined by  $v \mapsto (\gamma'(v), H(v))$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebroids. ■

**1.4. The Cartesian product of regular Lie algebroids.** By a *Cartesian product of two regular Lie algebroids*  $(A', [\cdot, \cdot]', \gamma')$  and  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \gamma)$  on foliated manifolds  $(M', F')$  and  $(M, F)$ , respectively, we mean the Lie algebroid

$$(A \times A', [\cdot, \cdot]^\times, \gamma \times \gamma')$$

over the foliated manifold  $(M \times M', F \times F')$ , and, for  $\bar{\xi} = (\bar{\xi}^1, \bar{\xi}^2), \bar{\eta} = (\bar{\eta}^1, \bar{\eta}^2) \in \text{Sec}(A \times A')$  and  $(x, y) \in M \times M'$ , we define

$$[\bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}]_{(x,y)}^\times = ([\bar{\xi}^1, \bar{\eta}^1]_{(x,y)}^{\times 1}, [\bar{\xi}^2, \bar{\eta}^2]_{(x,y)}^{\times 2})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}]_{(x,y)}^{\times 1} &= [\bar{\xi}^1(\cdot, y), \bar{\eta}^1(\cdot, y)]_x + (\gamma' \circ \bar{\xi}^2)_{(x,y)}(\bar{\eta}^1(x, \cdot)) - (\gamma' \circ \bar{\eta}^2)_{(x,y)}(\bar{\xi}^1(x, \cdot)), \\ [\bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}]_{(x,y)}^{\times 2} &= [\bar{\xi}^2(x, \cdot), \bar{\eta}^2(x, \cdot)]'_y + (\gamma \circ \bar{\xi}^1)_{(x,y)}(\bar{\eta}^2(\cdot, y)) - (\gamma \circ \bar{\eta}^1)_{(x,y)}(\bar{\xi}^2(\cdot, y)). \end{aligned}$$

## 2. CHARACTERIZATION OF ENDOMORPHISMS OF THE LIE ALGEBROID $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$

We shall consider a strong endomorphism  $H : T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ .

*Remark 2.1.* An element of the tangent bundle  $T\mathbb{R}^n$  we identified with a point of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  by the isomorphism

$$\omega : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow T\mathbb{R}^n, \quad (x, y) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Big|_x$$

for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where the system  $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Big|_x\right)_{i=1}^n$  forms the base of the tangent space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at  $x$  induced by the identity map on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *An endomorphism  $H : T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  of the vector bundle  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  is an endomorphism of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  if and only if, for any  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $H$  is of the form*

$$H(x, y, r) = \left( x, y, \sum_{i=1}^n A^i(x) \cdot y_i + B \cdot r \right)$$

where  $B \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and, for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $i \neq j$ , the relations

$$\frac{\partial A^i}{\partial x^j} \Big|_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)} = \frac{\partial A^j}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \quad (2.1)$$

hold.

**Proof.** "  $\implies$  " Assume that  $H : T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  is an endomorphism of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  (over  $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ). Since the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{H} & T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \\ \gamma \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma & \\ T\mathbb{R}^n & & \end{array}$$

commutes,  $H$  is of the form

$$H(x, y, r) = (x, y, \lambda(x, y, r)) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } r \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\lambda : (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function. Moreover, since the restrictions  $H|_x = H|_{T_x \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  are linear mappings for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , therefore

$H|_{\mathfrak{X}}$  is of the form

$$H|_{\mathfrak{X}}(y, r) = \left( y, \sum_{i=1}^n A^i(x) \cdot y_i + B(x) \cdot r \right)$$

for all  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and for some smooth functions  $A^i, B \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus

$$\lambda(x, y, r) = \sum_{i=1}^n A^i(x) \cdot y_i + B(x) \cdot r \text{ for } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be arbitrary, whereas  $Y = 0 \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  – the zero vector field on the manifold  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\sigma = 0$  – the zero function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Observe that

$$H \circ [(X, \sigma), (Y, \eta)] = H \circ [(X, 0), (0, \eta)] = H(0, X(\eta)) = (0, B \cdot X(\eta))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [H \circ (X, \sigma), H \circ (Y, \eta)] &= [H \circ (X, 0), H \circ (0, \eta)] \\ &= \left[ \left( X, \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot X^i \right), (0, B \cdot \eta) \right] \\ &= (0, X(B \cdot \eta)) = (0, B \cdot X(\eta) + X(B) \cdot \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{Sec } H : \text{Sec}(T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Sec}(T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras, we have the equality

$$H \circ [(X, 0), (0, \eta)] = [H \circ (X, 0), H \circ (0, \eta)].$$

Hence we obtain that  $X(B) \cdot \eta = 0$  for each  $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . For a non-zero function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$X(B) = 0.$$

But  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  was an arbitrarily taken vector field, therefore  $B$  is constant.

Now, let  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be two arbitrary vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$H \circ [(X, 0), (Y, 0)] = H([X, Y], 0) = \left( [X, Y], \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot [X, Y]^i \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [H \circ (X, 0), H \circ (Y, 0)] &= \left[ \left( X, \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot X^i \right), \left( Y, \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot Y^i \right) \right] \\ &= \left( [X, Y], X \left( \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot Y^i \right) - Y \left( \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot X^i \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $X^i, Y^i, [X, Y]^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are coordinates of the vector fields  $X, Y, [X, Y]$ , respectively. Since  $\text{Sec } H$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras, we have

$$H \circ [(X, 0), (Y, 0)] = [H \circ (X, 0), H \circ (Y, 0)],$$

whence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot [X, Y]^i = \sum_{i=1}^n X(A^i \cdot Y^i) - \sum_{i=1}^n Y(A^i \cdot X^i). \quad (2.2)$$

Consider vector fields  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $Y = \sum_{j=1}^n Y^j \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  where  $X^i, Y^j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)_{i=1}^n$  forms the base of the module  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , induced by the identity map on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In view of the properties of the Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  of vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we obtain

$$[X, Y] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n X^j \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (Y^i) - \sum_{j=1}^n Y^j \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (X^i) \right) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

Hence (2.2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^n X^j \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (Y^i) - \sum_{j=1}^n Y^j \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (X^i) \right) = \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n X^j \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (A^i \cdot Y^i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n Y^j \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (A^i \cdot X^i), \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (X^j \cdot Y^i - Y^j \cdot X^i) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (A^i) = 0.$$

Let  $i_0 \neq j_0$  and  $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_0}}$ ,  $Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j_0}}$ , i.e.  $X^i = \delta_i^{i_0}$  and  $Y^j = \delta_j^{j_0}$  for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . From the above it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (\delta_j^{i_0} \cdot \delta_i^{j_0} - \delta_j^{j_0} \cdot \delta_i^{i_0}) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (A^i) = 0;$$

consequently,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_0}} (A^{j_0}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j_0}} (A^{i_0}).$$

On account of the arbitrariness of  $i_0 \neq j_0$ , we have (2.1).

"  $\Leftarrow$  " Let  $H : T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  be an endomorphism of the vector bundle  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$H(x, y, r) = \left( x, y, \sum_i A^i(x) \cdot y_i + B \cdot r \right)$$

for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $B \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $A^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy condition (2.1).

Consider  $(X, \sigma), (Y, \eta) \in \text{Sec}(T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  where  $\sigma, \eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $Y = \sum_{j=1}^n Y^j \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $X^i, Y^j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X(A^i \cdot Y^i) - \sum_{i=1}^n Y(A^i \cdot X^i) = \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot [X, Y]^i + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n X^j \cdot Y^i \cdot \left( \frac{\partial A^i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial A^j}{\partial x_i} \right).$$

Thus (2.1) implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X(A^i \cdot Y^i) - \sum_{i=1}^n Y(A^i \cdot X^i) = \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot [X, Y]^i.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [H \circ (X, \sigma), H \circ (Y, \eta)] = \\ &= \left[ \left( X, \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot X^i + B \cdot \sigma \right), \left( Y, \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot Y^i + B \cdot \eta \right) \right] \\ &= \left( [X, Y], X \left( \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot Y^i + B \cdot \eta \right) - Y \left( \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot X^i + B \cdot \sigma \right) \right) \\ &= \left( [X, Y], \sum_{i=1}^n A^i \cdot [X, Y]^i + B \cdot (X(\eta) - Y(\sigma)) \right) \\ &= H \circ ([X, Y], X(\eta) - Y(\sigma)) = H \circ [(X, \sigma), (Y, \eta)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the mapping  $\text{Sec } H$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. It follows that  $H$  is a strong endomorphism of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . ■

**Corollary 2.2.** ( $n = 2$ ) An endomorphism  $H : T\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  of the vector bundle  $T\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  is an endomorphism of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $H$  is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & H((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), r) = \\ &= ((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), A^1(x_1, x_2) \cdot y_1 + A^2(x_1, x_2) \cdot y_2 + B \cdot r) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), r) \in (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $B \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A^1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and  $A^2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is given by

$$A^2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \int_0^{x_1} A^1(t, x_2) dt + \varphi(x_2) \quad (2.4)$$

for a certain function  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  depending on  $x_2$  only.

**Proof.** "  $\implies$  " Suppose that  $H$  is an endomorphism of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . By theorem 2.1,

$$H((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), r) = ((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), A^1(x_1, x_2) \cdot y_1 + A^2(x_1, x_2) \cdot y_2 + B \cdot r)$$

where  $B \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\frac{\partial A^1}{\partial x_2} \Big|_{(x_1, x_2)} = \frac{\partial A^2}{\partial x_1} \Big|_{(x_1, x_2)} \quad \text{for any } (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2.5)$$

Since there exists a function  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  dependent on  $x_2$  only, such that

$$A^2(x_1, x_2) = \int_0^{x_1} \frac{\partial A^2}{\partial x_1} \Big|_{(t, x_2)} dt + \varphi(x_2)$$

and (2.5) holds, therefore

$$A^2(x_1, x_2) = \int_0^{x_1} \frac{\partial A^1}{\partial x_2} \Big|_{(t, x_2)} dt + \varphi(x_2),$$

whence we obtain

$$A^2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \int_0^{x_1} A^1(t, x_2) dt + \varphi(x_2)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ " Let now the endomorphism  $H : T\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  be defined by (2.3).  $A^1$  is any function of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $A^2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is given by (2.4). Then

$$\frac{\partial A^2}{\partial x_1} \Big|_{(x_1, x_2)} = \frac{\partial A^1}{\partial x_2} \Big|_{(x_1, x_2)} \quad \text{for any } (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

On account of theorem 2.1, we have that  $H$  is an endomorphism of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ . ■

### 3. HOMOTOPY

#### 3.1. Definition of a homotopy joining two homomorphisms of Lie algebroids.

Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be regular Lie algebroids on manifolds  $M$  and  $M'$ , respectively, and let  $H_0, H_1 : A' \rightarrow A$  be homomorphisms of Lie algebroids. By a *homotopy joining  $H_0$  to  $H_1$*  we mean a homomorphism of Lie algebroids

$$H : T\mathbb{R} \times A' \longrightarrow A$$

such that

$$H(\theta_0, \cdot) = H_0 \quad \text{and} \quad H(\theta_1, \cdot) = H_1,$$

where  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_1$  are null vectors tangent to  $\mathbb{R}$  at 0 and 1, respectively. We then say that the endomorphism  $H_0$  is homotopic to  $H_1$  and write  $H_0 \sim H_1$ .

This definition comes from J. Kubarski [3].

Since we are interested in strong endomorphisms of a Lie algebroid  $A$ , we modify the above definition assuming that  $H$  is over the projection  $\text{pr}_2 : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$ . Then  $H$  is said to be a *strong homotopy*.

**3.2. Characterization of a homotopy joining two endomorphisms of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ .** Let  $\text{pr}_n : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be given by  $\text{pr}_n(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for all  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The mapping  $\Lambda : T\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{pr}_n^*(T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(((x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n)), s) = \\ = (((x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n)), (((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n), s))) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

*for any  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  is an isomorphism of Lie algebroids.*

**Proof.** The proof is standard. ■

The following lemma is preparatory to the main theorem of our paper – theorem 3.3.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $H_0, H_1 : T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  be two endomorphisms of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and let, for all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} H_0((x, y), r) &= \left( (x, y), \sum_{i=1}^n A_0^i(x) \cdot y_i + B_0 \cdot r \right), \\ H_1((x, y), r) &= \left( (x, y), \sum_{i=1}^n A_1^i(x) \cdot y_i + B_1 \cdot r \right) \end{aligned}$$

(according to theorem 2.1, each endomorphism of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  is of this form), where  $B_0, B_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $A_0^i, A_1^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy relation (2.1). There exists a strong homotopy joining  $H_0$  to  $H_1$  if and only if  $B_0 = B_1$  and there exist functions  $G^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  ( $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} G^k(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= A_0^k(x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ G^k(1, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= A_1^k(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

( $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ) and

$$\left. \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial x_j} \right|_{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)} = \left. \frac{\partial G^j}{\partial x_i} \right|_{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)} \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $i \neq j$ .

**Proof.** "  $\implies$  " Assume that there exists a strong homotopy  $H : T\mathbb{R} \times (T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  joining  $H_0$  to  $H_1$ . Then we have

$$H((0, 0), ((x, y), r)) = H_0((x, y), r), \quad (3.4)$$

$$H((1, 0), ((x, y), r)) = H_1((x, y), r) \quad (3.5)$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\overline{H} : T\mathbb{R} \times (T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{pr}_n^\wedge(T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  denote the homomorphism of Lie algebroids, determined by  $H$  via formula (1.1). Since the homomorphism  $\Lambda : T\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{pr}_n^\wedge(T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  defined by (3.1) is an isomorphism of Lie algebroids, we see at once, after the identification of  $T\mathbb{R} \times (T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  with  $T\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ , that  $\Lambda^{-1} \circ \overline{H}$  is an endomorphism of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Thus and by theorem 2.1, there exist functions  $G^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  and a real number  $B$ , such that  $\Lambda^{-1} \circ \overline{H}$  is defined by

$$(\Lambda^{-1} \circ \overline{H})((x, y), r) = \left( (x, y), \sum_{i=0}^n G^i(x) \cdot y_i + B \cdot r \right)$$

for any  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , and the following condition is satisfied

$$\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial G^j}{\partial x_i} \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } i \neq j.$$

Hence we obtain that  $\overline{H}$  is of the form

$$\overline{H}((x, y), r) = \left( (x, y), \left( (x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \right), \sum_{i=0}^n G^i(x) \cdot y_i + B \cdot r \right).$$

From the definition of  $\overline{H}$  and from the above it follows that  $H$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H((x_0, y_0), ((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n), r)) = \\ = \left( ((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)), \sum_{i=0}^n G^i(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot y_i + B \cdot r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . We deduce from (3.4) and (3.5) that  $B_0 = B_1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} G^i(0, \cdot) &= A_0^i, \\ G^i(1, \cdot) &= A_1^i \end{aligned}$$

for any  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

"  $\Leftarrow$  " Suppose that  $B = B_0 = B_1$  and there exist functions  $G^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  ( $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ ) satisfying conditions (3.2) and (3.3). Then the mapping

$$H : T\mathbb{R} \times (T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$$

given by (3.6) is a strong homotopy joining  $H_0$  to  $H_1$ . ■

Finally, we shall prove the main theorem of this work.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $H_0, H_1 : T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  be two endomorphisms of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  defined (in view of theorem 2.1) by*

$$\begin{aligned} H_0((x, y), r) &= \left( (x, y), \sum_{i=1}^n A_0^i(x) \cdot y_i + B_0 \cdot r \right), \\ H_1((x, y), r) &= \left( (x, y), \sum_{i=1}^n A_1^i(x) \cdot y_i + B_1 \cdot r \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $B_0, B_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $A_0^i, A_1^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy relation (2.1). There exists a strong homotopy joining  $H_0$  to  $H_1$  if and only if  $B_0 = B_1$ .

**Proof.** "  $\Rightarrow$  " Assume that the endomorphisms  $H_0, H_1 : T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  are homotopic. Now, lemma 3.2 shows that  $B_0 = B_1$ .

"  $\Leftarrow$  " Let now  $B_0 = B_1$ . Take  $G^0, G^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  ( $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G^0(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{x_j} (A_1^j - A_0^j)(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j-1}, t_j, \dots, x_n) dt_j, \\ G^i(x) &= x_0 \cdot A_1^i(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (1 - x_0) \cdot A_0^i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Then

$$G^i(0, \cdot) = A_0^i \quad \text{and} \quad G^i(1, \cdot) = A_1^i \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Since  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  are endomorphisms of Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ , therefore theorem 2.1 implies the equalities

$$\frac{\partial A_k^j}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial A_k^i}{\partial x_j}$$

for  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $i \neq j$ . Let  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Hence, for  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $i \neq j$ , we have, of course, that

$$\left. \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial x_j} \right|_x = \left. \frac{\partial G^j}{\partial x_i} \right|_x.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial G^0}{\partial x_1} \right|_x &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{x_j} (A_1^j - A_0^j) (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j-1}, t_j, \dots, x_n) dt_j \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \int_0^{x_1} (A_1^1 - A_0^1) (t_1, \dots, x_n) dt_1 = (A_1^1 - A_0^1) (x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left. \frac{\partial G^1}{\partial x_0} \right|_x \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial G^0}{\partial x_i} \right|_x &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{x_j} (A_1^j - A_0^j) (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j-1}, t_j, \dots, x_n) dt_j \right) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \int_0^{x_j} \frac{\partial (A_1^j - A_0^j)}{\partial x_i} (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j-1}, t_j, \dots, x_n) dt_j + \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \int_0^{x_i} (A_1^i - A_0^i) (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, t_i, \dots, x_n) dt_i \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \int_0^{x_j} \frac{\partial (A_1^i - A_0^i)}{\partial x_j} (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j-1}, t_j, \dots, x_n) dt_j + (A_1^i - A_0^i) (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n) \\ &= (A_1^i - A_0^i) (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - (A_1^i - A_0^i) (0, x_2, \dots, x_n) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 < j < i} (A_1^i - A_0^i) (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j-1}, x_j, \dots, x_n) - \sum_{1 < j < i} (A_1^i - A_0^i) (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) \\ &\quad + (A_1^i - A_0^i) (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n) \\ &= (A_1^i - A_0^i) (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - (A_1^i - A_0^i) (0, x_2, \dots, x_n) + \\ &\quad + (A_1^i - A_0^i) (0, x_2, \dots, x_n) + \sum_{2 < j \leq i} (A_1^i - A_0^i) (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j-1}, x_j, \dots, x_n) + \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 < j < i} (A_1^i - A_0^i) (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) \\ &= (A_1^i - A_0^i) (x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left. \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial x_0} \right|_x \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ . From this and theorem 3.2 we conclude that the endomorphism  $H_0$  is homotopic to  $H_1$ . The proof is completed. ■

#### 4. FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF A REGULAR LIE ALGEBROID

Let  $A$  be a regular Lie algebroid on a smooth manifold  $M$ . Consider the set

$$\pi_1(A) = \{[f]; f : A \rightarrow A\}$$

where  $[f]$  denotes a class of strong automorphisms of the Lie algebroid  $A$ , strong homotopic to the automorphism  $f : A \rightarrow A$ , and define the product of two classes  $[f], [g] \in \pi_1(A)$  by

$$[f] \cdot [g] = [f \circ g].$$

If  $f \sim f' : A \rightarrow A$  via a homotopy  $H_1$ , and  $g \sim g' : A \rightarrow A$  via a homotopy  $H_2$ , then  $f \circ g \sim f' \circ g'$  via the homotopy  $H = H_1 \circ (\text{pr}_1, H_2)$  where  $\text{pr}_1 : T\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{A} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}$  is the canonical projection. This observation gives the correctness of above definition.

In this way,  $\pi_1(A)$  becomes a group, called the *fundamental group of the Lie algebroid*  $A$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *The fundamental group  $\pi_1(T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  is isomorphic to the linear group  $\text{GL}(\mathbb{R})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f : T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  be an automorphism of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . On account of theorem 2.1, it is, for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in \mathbb{R}$ , of the form

$$f((x, y), r) = \left( x, y, \sum_{i=1}^n A_f^i(x) \cdot y_i + B_f \cdot r \right)$$

with  $B_f \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and functions  $A_f^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying condition (2.1). It is clear that  $f$  defines a linear automorphism  $a_f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the formula  $a_f(r) = B_f \cdot r$ . Now, we define an isomorphism of groups  $\Omega : \pi_1(T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{R})$  by setting

$$[f] \mapsto a_f.$$

It is evident that  $\Omega$  is an isomorphism. Let  $g$  be another automorphism of the Lie algebroid  $T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and let, for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$g((x, y), r) = \left( x, y, \sum_{i=1}^n A_g^i(x) \cdot y_i + B_g \cdot r \right)$$

with  $B_g \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $A_g^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (2.1). Then

$$(f \circ g)((x, y), r) = \left( (x, y), \sum_{i=1}^n (A_f^i(x) + B_f \cdot A_g^i(x)) \cdot y_i + B_f \cdot B_g \cdot r \right).$$

From this we obtain

$$\Omega([f] \cdot [g]) = \Omega([f \circ g]) = a_f \circ a_g = \Omega([f]) \circ \Omega([g]).$$

For this reason the mapping  $\Omega$  is an isomorphism of the groups  $\pi_1(T\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$  and  $\text{GL}(\mathbb{R})$ . ■

Finally, we raise an open problem: investigate the fundamental group  $\pi_1(A)$  for an arbitrary Lie algebroid  $A$ , first for  $A = TM \times \mathfrak{g}$  (where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an arbitrary Lie algebra).

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. J. Higgins, K. C. H. Mackenzie, *Algebraic constructions in the category of Lie algebroids*, J. Algebra 129 (1990), 194-230.
- [2] J. Kubarski, *The Chern-Weil homomorphism of regular Lie algebroids*, Publ. Dép. Math. Univ. de Lyon 1, 1991.
- [3] J. Kubarski, *Invariant cohomology of regular Lie algebroids*, Proceedings of the VII International Colloquium on Differential Geometry, "Analysis and Geometry in Foliated Manifolds", Santiago de Compostella, Spain, 26-30 July 1994, World Scientific Publishing Singapore-New Jersey-London-Hong Kong, 1995, 137-151.
- [4] K. C. H. Mackenzie, *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 124, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [5] Ngô van Quê, *Sur l'espace de prolongement différentiable*, Journal of Differential Geometry 2 (1968), 33-40.
- [6] J. Pradines, *Théorie de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables. Calcul différentiel dans la catégorie des groupoïdes infinitésimaux*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série A, t. 264 (1967), 245-248.
- [7] J. Pradines, *Théorie de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables*, Atti del Convegano Internazionale di Geometria Differenziale (Bologna, 28-30, IX, 1967), Bologna-Amsterdam, 1967.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ

Al. Politechniki 11

90-924 Łódź

Poland

bogdan@ck-sg.p.lodz.pl