Anna Kamińska

Some convexity properties of Musielak-Orlicz spaces of Bochner type

In: Zdeněk Frolík and Vladimír Souček and Jiří Vinárek (eds.): Proceedings of the 13th Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Section of Analysis. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1985. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 10. pp. [63]–73.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701863

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

SOME CONVEXITY PROPERTIES OF MUSIELAK-ORLICZ SPACES OF BOCHNER TYPE

A.Kamińska

Abstract. It is shown here that if a Banach space X and Musie-lak-Orlicz space L_{φ} are both locally uniformly rotund or uniformly rotund in every direction then the space $L_{\varphi}(X)$ of Bochner type has the same properties. Moreover criteria for these properties have been given for a subspace of finite elements $E_{\varphi}(X)$.

Introduction. Many authors have been examined the question whether a geometrical property lifts from a Banach space X to the Lebesque-Bochner space L^p(X). M.Smith in [7] has given a brief survey of those problem. Similar questions have also been considered for Orlicz or Musielak-Orlicz space. H.Hudzik in [4] has been shown that if X and Musielak-Orlicz space Lo are both uniformly rotund then $L_{\omega}(X)$ is also uniformly rotund. N.Herrndorf in [3] has proved that Bochner-Orlicz space L $_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathtt{X})$ is locally uniformly rotund iff both X and L $_{\phi}$ have this property. Here we consider two geometrical properties: local uniform rotundity (LUR) and uniform rotundity in every direction (URED), in the context of Musielak-Orlicz spaces of vector functions. In paper [5] there have been presented criteria for the above properties in Musielak-Orlicz spaces of scalar functions $\mathtt{L}_{oldsymbol{arphi}}$, expressed in terms of function $oldsymbol{arphi}$. Here it is shown that Musielak-Orlicz space L $_{oldsymbol{arphi}}$ (X) of Bochner type is LUR(URED) iff both X and L $_{\sigma}$ are LUR(URED) .Similar results are also shown for the subspace of finite elements $E_{\varphi}(X)$ of the space $L_{\varphi}(X)$. Subspaces of this kind play an important role in the theory of spaces of Orlicz type.

Since the paper is a direct continuation and generalization of results from [5], we refer a reader to those paper for basic notations and definitions as well as for some Lemmas and Theorems. Now, we give some additional notations and definitions. For $u,v\in R$, let us denote $\max(u,v) = u \vee v$, $\min(u,v) = u \wedge v$. The Musielak-Orlicz

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submittaged for publication elsewhere.

space L φ (X) of Bochner type is a family of all strongly measurable functions x : T \to X such that I φ (λ x) = $\int_T \varphi$ (λ ||x(t)||,t)d μ < ω

for some $\lambda>0$ dependent on x, where X is a Banach space. The space $L_{\varphi}(X)$ is equipped with Luxemburg norm. The subspace of finite elements $E_{\varphi}(X)$ is a family of all strongly measurable functions x such that $L_{\varphi}(\lambda x) < \infty$ for every $\lambda>0$. Suppose in the following that measure μ is σ -finite. There exists an increasing sequence L_{1} such that $\mu T_{1} < \infty$, $\mu(T_{1} > \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{i}) = 0$ and

(0.1)
$$\sup_{t \in T_1} \varphi(u,t) < \infty$$

for every $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, let (A_i) be a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets such that $\mu A_i < \infty$ and $\mu (T > \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i) = 0$. Let

$$A_{nm}^{i} = \{ t \in A_{i} : \varphi(n, t) \leq m \}$$
. Since $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{nm}^{i} = A_{i}, \mu(A_{i} \setminus A_{nm}^{i}) \rightarrow 0$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists m_n

such that
$$\mu(A_1 \setminus A_{nm_n}^1) < \xi/2^n$$
. Hence $\mu(A_1 \setminus \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{nm_n}^1) \le \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_1 \setminus A_{nm_n}^1) < \xi$. Denoting $B_{\xi}^1 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{nm_n}^1$ we have

 $\sup_{t\in B_{\epsilon}^{i}}\phi(n,t)<\infty \text{ for every i,n } \epsilon \, \mathbb{N} \text{ . Let us take a sequence } (B_{\epsilon_{j}}^{i})_{i,j}^{i}$ where (ϵ_{j}) is a sequence tending to zero. So, we have

$$\mu\left(\mathbf{T} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon_{j}}^{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(\mathbf{A}_{i} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon_{j}}^{i}\right) = 0,$$

because $\mu(A_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{\xi_j}^i) \leq \mu(A_i \setminus B_{\xi_j}^i) \leq \xi_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Finally, we transform the sequence $(B_{\xi_j}^i)_{i,j}$ into (T_i) with desired properties.

In virtue of (0.1) it is seen that $E_{\varphi}(X)$ is always nonempty, because all characteristic functions of T_i belong to E_{φ} . Condition (0.1) has appeared in [2], in the context of decomposability of the subspace of finite elements, but the author has not given a proof.

For a Banach space (X, || ||) we define the following moduli

of rotundity

for $z \neq 0$. The space (X, || ||) is LUR (URED) iff $\delta(y, \xi) > 0$ $(\delta(\xi, \to z) > 0)$ for every $\xi > 0$ and every y belonging to a unit sphere of X (every $0 \neq z \in X$) [1]. If X is separable and y strongly measurable function then compositions $\delta(y(t), \xi), \delta(\xi, \to y(t))$ are measurable functions. It is trivial to check that Theorem 0.2, Lemmas 0.3,0.4,4 in [5] are also true for the space $L_{\varphi}(X)$ of Bochner type.

For arbitrary $x,y \in X$ we have $||x + y|| \lor ||x|| \geqslant ||x \neq y|| \geqslant ||y|| - ||x|| \geqslant ||y|| - (||x + y|| \lor ||x||)$. It implies that (0.2) $||x + y|| \lor ||x|| \geqslant ||y||/2$ for every $x,y \in X$. This simple inequality plays a similar role to Lemma 2 in [5].

Results.

sts a sequence $(y_k) \subset \mathbb{E}_{\varphi}$ such that $I_{\varphi}(y_k) \to 0$ and $||y_k||_{\varphi} \to 1$ and $\mu(\mathbb{T} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{supp } y_k) > 0$.

<u>Proof.</u> It is easily seen that condition Δ_2 is fulfilled iff $\int_T h_n(t) d\mu < \infty$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$h_n(t) = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \varphi((1 + \frac{1}{n})u, t) - 2^n \varphi(u, t) \right\}.$$

Let (u_i) be the set of rational numbers and $A_{nmi} = \left\{ t \in T_m \ : \ \phi\left((1+\frac{1}{n})u_i,t\right) \geqslant 2^n \phi\left(u_i,t\right) \right\} \ ,$

where (T_m) is a sequence from condition (0.1). Putting $\{x_{jn}(t)\}_j = \{u_i \chi_{A_{nmi}}(t)\}_{m,i}$ and

$$g_n(t) = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \varphi((1 + \frac{1}{n})u, t) : \varphi((1 + \frac{1}{n})u, t) \ge 2^n \varphi(u, t) \right\}$$

we get

$$g_n(t) = \sup_{i,n} \varphi((1 + \frac{1}{n})u_i \chi_{A_{nmi}}(t), t)$$

= $\sup_{j} \varphi((1 + \frac{1}{n})x_{jn}(t), t).$

It is evident, that $x_{jn} \in \mathbb{F}_{\phi}$ for each j,n $\in \mathbb{N}$. If condition Δ_2

is not satisfied then

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} g_n(t) d\mu = \infty$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, because $g_n(t) \geqslant h_n(t)$. Putting

$$g_{nl}(t) = \max_{1 \le j \le l} \varphi((1 + \frac{1}{n})x_{jn}(t), t)$$
 we have $g_{nl}(t) \uparrow g_{n}(t)$ as

1 → co and hence

(1.1)
$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} g_{nl(n)}(t) d\mu \geqslant 2^{n}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $l(n) \in \mathbb{N}$. Denoting $\bar{x}_n(t) = \max_{1 \le j \le l(n)} x_{jn}(t)$, we have

 $g_{nl(n)}(t) = \varphi((1 + \frac{1}{n}) \bar{x}_n(t)rt)$. We find an increasing subsequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence (A_k) of pairwise disjoint sets such that

(1.2)
$$\int_{A_{1-}} \varphi \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{n_k} \right) \bar{x}_{n_k}(t), t \right) d\mu = 1$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by condition (1.1) and Lemma 1.7 in [6]. We can take a sequence (A_k) in such a way that $\mu(T \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) > 0$. Moreover, we get

(1.3)
$$\varphi((1+\frac{1}{n})\bar{x}_n(t),t) \geqslant 2^n \varphi(\bar{x}_n(t),t)$$

for each $n\in I\!\!N$, by definition of sets A_{nmi} and functions \overline{z}_n . Let us put $y_k(t) = \overline{z}_{n_k}(t) \ \chi_{A_k}(t) \ .$

It is evident that $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$. Moreover

$$I\varphi(y_k) = \int_{A_k} \varphi(\bar{x}_{n_k}(t), t) d\mu$$

$$\leq 1/2^{n_k} \int_{A_k} \varphi((1 + \frac{1}{n_k}) \bar{x}_{n_k}(t), t) d\mu$$

$$= 1/2^{n_k} \longrightarrow 0,$$

= $1/2^{n_k} \xrightarrow{k} 0$, as $k \to \infty$, by (1.3) and (1.2). But $I_{\varphi}((1 + \frac{1}{n_k}) y_k) =$

$$= \int_{A_k} \varphi((1 + \frac{1}{n_k}) \bar{x}_{n_k}(t), t) d\mu = 1 \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ Hence}$$

as $k \to \infty$. This ends the proof, because $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$.

2. Lemma. If X is locally uniformly rotund, $\varphi(\cdot,t)$ is strictly convex for $t \in T \setminus T_0$, where T_0 is some null set, then for every ℓ , α_1 , $\alpha_2 \in (0, \infty)$, $p \in (0, 1)$ there exists a measurable function $q: T \longrightarrow (0, 1)$ such that $\varphi(||(u + v)/2||, t) \leq (1 - q(t)) (\varphi(||u||, t)) + \varphi(||v||, t))/2$

for all $t \in T \setminus T_0$ and all $u, v \in X$ satisfying the following conditions $\|u - v\| \ge \varepsilon (\|u\| \vee \|v\|), \quad \varphi (\|u\| \vee \|v\|, t) \in [\alpha_1, \alpha_2], \quad \forall \neq 0 \text{ and } \delta (v/\|v\|, \epsilon/2) \ge p.$

<u>Proof.</u> Let \mathcal{E}_1 be some fixed positive number such that (2.1) $\mathcal{E}_1 \leq p/(2-p) \wedge p/(1+p) \wedge \mathcal{E}/2$.

If $|||u|| - ||v||| \ge \varepsilon_1(||u|| \vee ||v||)$ then applying Lemma 1 of [5] we get the desired inequality with some function ε_1 : $T \rightarrow (0,1)$.

Suppose then $|||u|| - ||v||| < \varepsilon_1$ ($||u|| \vee ||v||$). We consider two cases. If $||u|| \le ||v||$ then

 $(1 - \varepsilon_1) ||v|| < ||u|| \le ||v||$ and $||u/||v|| - v/||v|| || \ge \varepsilon$, -by-our assumptions. Hence and by the local uniform rotandity of X and by (2.1) it holds

If ||u|| > ||v|| then we have

(2.3) $(1 - \varepsilon_1) ||u|| \le ||v|| \le ||u||$.

Moreover

by the assumption $\|u-v\| \ge \varepsilon (\|u\| \vee \|v\|)$ and inequalities (2.1) and (2.3). Then $\|u/2\|u\| + v/2\|v\|\| \le 1 - \delta(v/\|v\|, \varepsilon/2)$. Hence and by (2.4) and (2.1) we obtain

since the function $\mathcal{E}_1 \longmapsto \mathcal{E}_1/2(1-\mathcal{E}_1)$ is nondecreasing. Hence and by ||u|| > ||v|| we get inequality (2.2) immediately. Now, it is enough to apply the convexity of φ , to get thesis of the lemma with the function $q(t) = \min(q_1(t), p/2)$.

3.Lemma. If X is uniformly rotund in every direction, $\varphi(\cdot,t)$ is strictly convex for $t \in T \setminus T_0$, where T_0 is some null set, then for every \mathcal{E} , α_1 , $\alpha_2 \in (0, \infty)$, $p \in (0, 1)$ there exists a measurable function $q: T \to (0, 1)$ such that

 $\varphi(\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}/2\|, \mathbf{t}) \leq (1 - q(\mathbf{t}))(\varphi(\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|, \mathbf{t}) + \varphi(\|\mathbf{u}\|, \mathbf{t}))/2$ for all $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{T} \setminus \mathbf{T}_0$ and every $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{X}$ satisfying the following conditions

 $\|v\| \ge \varepsilon (\|v + u\| \vee \|u\|), \quad \varphi(\|v + u\| \vee \|u\|, t) \in [\infty_1, \infty_2], \quad v \ne 0 \text{ and } \delta(\varepsilon, \rightarrow v) \ge p.$

<u>Proof.</u> Let $\mathcal{E}_1 = p/(2-p)$. If $|||v + u|| - ||u||| > \mathcal{E}_1(||v + u|| \vee ||u||)$ then by Lemma 1 of [5] we get immediately the desired inequality with some function q_1 dependent on $p_1 \ll q_1 \ll q_2$.

Let now $|||v + u|| - ||u||| \le \varepsilon_1(||v + u|| \cdot ||u||)$. It implies the following inequality

(3.1) $(1 - \varepsilon_1)(\|v + u\|v\|u\|) \leq \|v + u\| \wedge \|u\|$.

Without loss of generality we can put $||v + u|| \vee ||u|| > 0$. Since $||v||/(||v + u|| \vee ||u||) \ge \varepsilon$, $||u||/(||v + u|| \vee ||u||) \le 1$, $||u||/(||v + u|| \vee ||u||) \le 1$, and by definition of the modulus

 $||u + v||/(||v + u|| \vee ||u||) \le 1$ and by definition of the moduluse $\delta(\xi, \rightarrow v)$ we get

 $(3.2) ||((u + v) + u)/2|| \le (1 - \delta(\varepsilon, \rightarrow v)) (||v + u|| \lor ||u||)$. But

 $\|v + u\| \vee \|u\| \le 1/(1 - \varepsilon_1) (\|v + u\| \vee \|u\| + \|v + u\| \wedge \|u\|)/2$ = $1/(1 - \varepsilon_1) (\|u\| + \|u + v\|)/2$,

by inequality (3.1). Taking into consideration in (3.2) that $\delta(\varepsilon, \rightarrow v) \geqslant p$ and $\varepsilon_1 = p/(2-p)$ we get $||u + v/2|| \leqslant (1 - p/2)(||u|| + ||v + u||)/2$. Applying the convexity of φ we obtain the thesis with $q(t) = \min(q_1(t), p/2)$.

<u>Proposition.</u> If φ doesn't fulfil condition Δ_2 then \mathbb{E}_{φ} is not locally uniformly rotund and it is not uniformly rotund in every direction.

 $\frac{\text{Proof.}}{\text{I}_{\varphi}(y_n) \to 0} \text{ Let } (y_n) \subset \text{E}_{\varphi} \text{ be a sequence from Lemma 1 i.e.} \\ \text{I}_{\varphi}(y_n) \to 0 \text{ and } \|y_n\|_{\varphi} \to 1 \text{ and } \mu(\text{T} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{supp } y_n) > 0. \text{ There}$

exists a set A of positive measure such that $A \subset (T \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{supp} y_n) \cap T_m$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $I_{\varphi}(u \chi_{\mathbb{A}}) < \infty$ for each $u \geqslant 0$, by (0.1). Since a function $u \to I_{\varphi}(u \chi_{\mathbb{A}})$ is convex and finite, it is continous and $\lim_{u \to \infty} I_{\varphi}(u \chi_{\mathbb{A}}) = \infty$. Therefore there exist u_1, u_2 and u_n such that

 $I_{\varphi}(u_1 \chi_A) = 1$, $I_{\varphi}(u_2 \chi_A) = 1/2$, $I_{\varphi}(u_n \chi_A) = 1 - I_{\varphi}(y_n)$. Let us put

$$z_1(t) = u_1 \chi_A(t)$$
, $z_2(t) = u_2 \chi_A(t)$,

$$z_{1n}(t) = u_n \chi_A(t) + y_n(t)$$
, $z_{2n}(t) = y_n(t)$.

The above all functions belong to E φ , by (0.1). We have I $\varphi(z_1)$ = 1 and I $\varphi(z_2)$ = 1/2. Hence $||z_1||\varphi$ = 1 and $||z_2||\varphi \le 1$. We have also I $\varphi(z_{1n})$ = 1, I $\varphi(z_{2n}) \to 0$ and $||z_{2n}||\varphi \to 1$. Since $||z_1(t) - z_{1n}(t)| \ge ||y_n(t)||$, so $||z_1 - z_{1n}||\varphi \ge ||y_n||\varphi \to 1$. Howe-

ver $||(z_1 + z_{1n})/2||_{\varphi} \ge I_{\varphi}((z_1 + z_{1n})/2) = I_{\varphi}(((u_1 + u_n)/2)\chi_A) + I_{\varphi}(y_n/2) \ge I_{\varphi}(u_n \chi_A) = 1 - I_{\varphi}(y_n) \longrightarrow 1$, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. This shows that E_{φ} is not locally uniformly rotund.

Taking into consideration z_2 and z_{2n} we obtain $I_{\varphi}(z_2 + z_{2n}) = I_{\varphi}(z_2) + I_{\varphi}(z_{2n}) < 1$ for sufficiently large n. Hence $||z_2 + z_{2n}||_{\varphi} \leq 1$. But, the inequality $|z_2(t)/2| + |z_{2n}(t)| = (1/2)|z_2(t)| + |z_{2n}(t)| > |z_{2n}(t)|$ implies $||z/2| + |z_{2n}||_{\varphi} > ||z_{2n}||_{\varphi} \rightarrow 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It shows that E_{φ} is not uniformly rotund in every direction and ends the proof.

 $\underline{\text{Theorem.}}$ If X is separable then the following conditions are equivalent

- (1) $L_{\varphi}(X)$ is LUR (URED),.
- (2) I and L o are LUR (URED),
- (3) Eφ(X) is LUR (URED),
- (4) I and E \(\rho\) are LUR (URED),
 - (5) the function ϕ is strictly convex and satisfies condition Δ_2 and X is LUR (URED) .

<u>Proof.</u> Implications (1) \rightarrow (2) and (3) \rightarrow (4) are immediate, because X and L φ or E φ are isometric subspaces of L φ (X) or E φ (X) respectively. The implication (1) \rightarrow (3) is trivial. Implications (2) \rightarrow (5) and (4) \rightarrow (5) are results of Proposition and Theorem 0.1 in [5], because L φ = E φ if φ satisfies condition \triangle_2 . So it is enough to prove that (5) implies (1). Some ideas of the proof are included in [5], but for clarity we present the investigation on the whole. First, we will show that L φ (X) is locally uniformly rotund. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y \in L_{\varphi}(X)$ be such that $I_{\varphi}(y) = 1$. Consider the set of all x for which $I_{\varphi}(x) = 1$ and $I_{\varphi}(x - y) \geqslant \varepsilon$. By condition \triangle_2 there exist k>0 and a nonnegative function h, such that

(1) $\int_{\mathbb{T}} h(t) d\mu < (1/16) \mathcal{E}$ and $\varphi(2u,t) \leq k \varphi(u,t) + h(t)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e.teT. We find also constants c_1, c_2 such that

 $c_2 > c_1 > 1$ and a.e.ter. we find also constants c_1, c_2 such that

(2)
$$\int_{T_1} \varphi(2 ||y(t)||, t) d\mu < (1/32k) \epsilon$$
 where

 $T_1 = \{t \in T : \phi(||y(t)||,t) < 1/c_1 \lor \phi(2||y(t)||,t) > c_1 \}$ and

(3)
$$c_1/c_2 \le (1/32)(E/k)/(k + (1/16)E)$$
. Put

$$T_{x} = \left\{ t \in T : \varphi(2||x(t)||,t) > c_{2} \right\}.$$

Denoting $T_0(x) - T \cdot (T_1 \cup T_x)$ we have $T_{c}(x) = \{t \in T : 1/c_1 \le \varphi(\|y(t)\|, t) \land \varphi(2\|y(t)\|, t) \le c_1 \} \cap$ $\left\{t \in T : \varphi(2 ||x(t)||, t) \leq c_2\right\}.$ Supposing that $I_{\varphi}((x - y)\chi_{T_{0}(x)}) < (3/4) \varepsilon$ we have I $_{\varphi}$ ((x - y) $\chi_{T_1 \cup T_{\mathbf{y}}}$) > (1/4) ϵ , by the assumption I $_{\varphi}$ (x - y) $\geqslant \epsilon$. We have also $(4) \quad I_{\varphi}(y \chi_{T_{1} \cup T_{x}}) \leq \sum_{T_{-}} \varphi(||y(t)||, t) d\mu + \sum_{T_{4}} \varphi(||y(t)||, t) d\mu$ $\leq c_1 \mu(T_v \setminus T_1) + (1/32k) \epsilon$ $\leq (c_1/c_2) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(2 || \mathbf{x}(t) ||, t) d\mu + 1/32k$ $\leq (c_1/c_2)(kI_{\varphi}(x) + \int_{x} h(t)d\mu) + (1/32k) \epsilon$ $\leq (c_1/c_2)(k + (1/16)\epsilon) + (1/32k)\epsilon$ by (1), (2) and (3). Therefore $\xi/4 < I_{\varphi}((x-y)\chi_{\mathtt{I}_{1}\cup\mathtt{I}_{y}}) \leq (k/2)(I_{\varphi}(x\chi_{\mathtt{I}_{1}\cup\mathtt{I}_{y}}) + I_{\varphi}(y\chi_{\mathtt{I}_{1}\cup\mathtt{I}_{y}})) + I_{\varphi}(y\chi_{\mathtt{I}_{1}\cup\mathtt{I}_{y}}) + I_{\varphi}(y\chi_{\mathtt{I}_{1}\cup\mathtt{I}_{y}) + I_{\varphi}(y\chi_{\mathtt{I}_{1}\cup\mathtt{I}_{y}}) + I_{\varphi}(y\chi_{\mathtt{I}_{1}\cup\mathtt{I}_{y}}) + I_{\varphi}(y\chi_{\mathtt$ $\int_{m} h(t) d\mu \leqslant (k/2) I_{\varphi}(x \chi_{T_1 \cup T_{\varphi}}) + (3/32) \varepsilon.$ Hence $I_{\varphi}(x \chi_{T_1 \cup T_{\varphi}}) \geqslant (5/16k) \varepsilon$. This fact joined with (4) gives an inequality $I_{\varphi}(y \chi_{T_{\varphi}(x)}) - I_{\varphi}(x \chi_{T_{\varphi}(x)}) > (1/4k) \varepsilon$. Now, applying Lemma 0.4 from [5] there exists a positive number of dependent only on & ,k such that $I_{\varphi}((x - y) \chi_{T_{\varphi}(x)}) \geqslant \infty$, for every considered x. Denote $v_x(A) = I_{\varphi}((x - y) \chi_{A \cap T_{\varphi}(x)})$. These set functions satisfy assumptions of Lemma 3 in [5], if we put x in place of τ . Indeed, $v_x(A) \leq (1/2) I_{\varphi}(2x \chi_{A \cap T_{\varphi}(x)})$ + $(1/2)I\varphi(2y \chi_{A \cap T_{a}(x)}) \leq ((c_1 + c_2)/2)\mu A$. It implies that , $Y_x(A) \le \varepsilon$ if $\mu A \le 2\varepsilon/(c_1 + c_2)$. Moreover $\mu(T \setminus T_1) < \infty$ and $V_x(T_1) = 0$. Putting $T_{\xi} = T \setminus T_1$ we showed the first assumption of the lemma. "The second assumption is obvious by [5] . Therefore. taking the function $\delta(y(t)/||y(t)||, \alpha(16))$ as q(t) in this lemma. there exists p>0 such that $I_{\varphi}((x - y) \chi_{T_{\alpha}(x) \cap T_{\alpha}'}) \ge (3/4) \propto$

where $T_0' = \{t \in T : \delta(y(t) / ||y(t)||, \infty / 16) \ge p \}$.

Now, let q(t) be the function from Lemma 2 chosen for $\infty/16$, $1/c_1$, c_2 , p in place of ϵ , ∞_1 , ∞_2 , p. Applying again Lemma 3 in the context of (6), there exists a constant $q \in (0,1)$ such that (7) $I_{\varphi}((x-y)\chi_{T_{\varphi}(x)} \wedge T_{\varphi}^{'} \wedge T_{\varphi}^{"}) \geqslant \infty/2$,

where $T_0'' = \{ t \in T : q(t) \ge q \}$. Denoting $U(x) = T_0(x) \cap T_0' \cap T_0''$, let $T_2(x) = \{ t \in U(x) : ||x(t) - y(t)|| \ge (\alpha/8) (||x(t)|| \vee ||y(t)||) \}$. If $t \in T_2(x)$ then values x(t) and y(t) satisfy assumptions of Lemma 2 and so

 $\varphi(||(x(t) + y(t))/2||,t) \le (1 - q)(\varphi(||x(t)||,t) + \varphi(||y(t)||,t))/2.$ Therefore

(8) $I_{\varphi}((x+y)/2) \leq 1 - (q/2) (I_{\varphi}(x \chi_{T_2(x)}) + I_{\varphi}(y \chi_{T_2(x)}).$ If $t \in U(x) \setminus T_2(x)$ then $\varphi(||x(t) - y(t)||, t) \leq (\alpha/8) (\varphi(||x(t)||, t) + \varphi(||y(t)||, t)).$ Hence $I_{\varphi}((x-y)\chi_{U(x)} \setminus T_2(x)) \leq \alpha/4.$ So, in virtue of (7) we have $I_{\varphi}((x-y)\chi_{T_2(x)}) > \alpha/4.$ Now, we find a constant k_1 and a function k_1 such that $\int_{\mathbb{T}_1} h_1(t) d\mu \leq \alpha/8 \text{ and } \varphi(2u,t) \leq k.$ $\varphi_1(u,t) + h_1(t).$

Then $I_{\varphi}(x \chi_{T_2(x)}) + I_{\varphi}(y \chi_{T_2(x)}) \geqslant (2/k_1)(I_{\varphi}((x - y) \chi_{T_2 x}) - \frac{1}{2} h_1(t)d\mu) \geqslant (2/k_1)(c/4 - c/8) - c/4k_1$. Hence and by (8) we get the following estimation

(9) I $\varphi((x + y)/2) \le 1 - q \cdot C/8k_1$, which ends the proof in virtue of Lemma 0.3 in [5].

Now, we will show that $L_{\varphi}(X)$ is uniformly rotund in every direction. Let $z \in L_{\varphi}$, $z \neq 0$ and $I_{\varphi}(x) \leq 1$ and $I_{\varphi}(x+z) \leq 1$. Assumptions of Lemma 4 in [5] are satisfied with functions z and x. Then, there exist constants $c,d,\infty>0$ such that

$$I_{\varphi}(z \chi_{W_{\alpha}(x)}) > \infty$$

for arbitrary x satisfying $I_{\varphi}(x) \leq 1$, where

$$W_0(x) = W_1 \cap W_x$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} W_1 = \big\{ t \in T : & 1/c \le \varphi \left(\| z(t) \| / 2, t \right) \text{ and } \varphi \left(2 \| |z(t) \|, t \right) \le c \big\}, \\ W_x = \big\{ t \in T : \varphi \left(2 \| |x(t) \|, t \right) \le d \big\}. \end{array}$

Since $z(t) \neq 0$ for every $t \in W_1$, $\delta(\xi, \to z(t)) > 0$ for $t \in W_0(x)$. Moreover $\mu W_1 < \infty$. Applying Lemma 3 in [5] for set mappings $\bigvee_{x} (A) = I_{\varphi}(z \chi_{W_0(x) \cap A})$ and the function $\delta(\xi, \to z(t))$, there exists $p \in (0,1)$ such that

$$I\varphi(z \chi_{W_{\Omega}(x)\cap W'}) \geqslant (3/4) \infty$$

where W'= $\{t \in T : \delta(\mathcal{E}, \to z(t)) \ge p \}$. Let q(t) be a function from Lemma 3 chosen for constants $\alpha/8$, 1/c, (c+d)/2, p taken as \mathcal{E}, ∞_1 , ∞_2 , p. Applying again Lemma 3 in [5] we obtain

 $I \varphi(z \chi_{W_0(x) \cap W' \cap W''}) \geqslant \alpha/2$,

where $W'' = \{t \in T : q(t) \geqslant q \}$ for some $q \in (0,1)$. Let

 $\varphi(||x(t)||,t))/2$ for $t \in W_2(x)$. In the sequel, proceeding similarly as in the previous proof beginning from inequality (8), we get an estimation of the type (9). So, in virtue of Lemma 0.3 in [5], the proof is finished.

Remark. The separability of X is used only for measurability of compositions $\delta(y(t), \xi)$ and $\delta(\xi, \neg y(t))$. The above theorem is a generalization of some results from [3] and [8].

REFERENCES

- [1] Day M.M. "Normed linear spaces", Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [2] Giner E. "Espaces integraux de type Orlicz. Dualite, compacite, convergence en mesure . Applications a l'optimisation", These, Perpignan, 1977.
- [3] Herrndorf N. "Local uniform convexity of Orlicz spaces of Bochner integrable functions", Preprints in Statistics no.66, University of Cologne, 1981.
- [4] Hudzik H. "Uniform convexity of Musielak-Orlicz spaces with Luxemburg's norm", Comment. Math., 23(1983), 21-32.
- [5] Kamińska A. "On some convexity properties of Musielak-Orlicz spaces", Supplemento ai Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, The 12th Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Srni 1984.
- [6] Kozek A. "Convex integral functionals on Orlicz spaces", Comment. Math., 21(1)(1980), 109-135.
- [7] Smith M.A. "Rotundity and extremity in $1^p(X_i)$ and $L^p(\mu, X)$ ", Proceedings of the M.M.Day Math. Conference, June 9-12, 1983.
- [8] Smith M.A., Turett B. "Rotundity in Lebesque-Bochner function spaces". Trans. Am. Math. Soc. . 251. No 1 (1980). 105-118.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY
UL. MATEJKI 48/49 POZNAŃ
POLAND