

Aleksander Błaszczyk
Remarks on powers of lattices

In: Zdeněk Frolík and Vladimír Souček and Jiří Vinárek (eds.): Proceedings of the 13th Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Section of Topology. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1985. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 11. pp. [11]–13.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701875>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

REMARKS ON POWERS OF LATTICES

A. Błaszczyk

A cardinal $\mathfrak{B}$ is called an ω -power if $\mathfrak{B}^{\aleph_0} = \mathfrak{B}$. A well known result of R.S.Pierce [7] says that the power of every infinite complete Boolean algebra is an ω -power. Subsequently J.D.Monk and P.R.Sparks [6] and W.W.Comfort and A.H.Hager [2] have shown that the same is valid for $\mathcal{G}$ -complete Boolean algebras. This result was improved by S.Koppelberg [4] ; she has proved that it holds for weakly- $\mathcal{G}$ -complete Boolean algebras. Recently E.K. van Douwen and H.-X.Zhou [3] have obtained a topological theorem which is closely related to these results. They have proved that for every compact Hausdorff space X , the power of the lattice $L(X) = \{Intcl U : U \text{ is a cozero-set in } X\}$ is an ω -power. Note, that the family of all regular-open subsets of a topological space X forms a complete Boolean algebra containing $L(X)$ as an upward $\mathcal{G}$ -complete sublattice, i.e. $L(X)$ is closed under suprema of countable subsets. This leads to a natural question (see [3]) : which lattices have power being ω -power ? Concerning this question I have obtained in [1] the following results :

Theorem 1. There exists an upward $\mathcal{G}$ -complete sublattice L of a complete Boolean algebra such that $|L|$ is not ω -power.

In the next result B^c stands for the completion of an algebra B and the inequality $u \ll w$ means that $u, w \in B^c$ and for every ultrafilter $F \subset B$ such that $x \wedge u \neq 0$ for every $x \in F$, there exists $y \in F$ such that $y \leq w$.

Theorem 2. If B is an infinite Boolean algebra and L is an upward $\mathcal{G}$ -complete sublattice of B^c such that $B \subset L \subset B^c$ and for every $u \in L$ there exists $\{u_n : n < \omega\} \subset L$ such that $\inf\{u \wedge u_n : n < \omega\} = 0$, $u \vee u_n = 1$ and $u_{n+1} \ll u_n$ for every $n < \omega$, then $|L|$ is an ω -power.

The next result shows that the assumption that L is upward $\mathcal{G}$ -complete and $B \subset L \subset B^c$ does not suffice for proving in ZFC that $|L|$ is an ω -power. Namely, we have

Theorem 3. If $2^{\aleph_n} = \aleph_{n+1}$ for every $n < \omega$, then there exists an infinite Boolean algebra B and an upward σ -complete sublattice L of B^C such that $B \subset L \subset B^C$ and $|L|$ is not ω -power.

The aim of this note is to show that under the assumption of generalized continuum hypothesis (GCH) the situation is quite different. To do this I shall adapt an idea due to S. Koppelberg [5].

Theorem 4. Assume GCH. If B is an infinite Boolean algebra and L is an upward σ -complete sublattice of B^C such that $B \subset L \subset B^C$, then $|L|$ is an ω -power.

Proof. Let $\beta = |B| \geq \omega$. Since $|B^C| \leq 2^{|B|} = \beta^+$, the power of L equals either β or β^+ . Clearly, we may assume that $|L| = \beta$ and β is a limit cardinal, i.e. $\beta = \sup\{\beta_\gamma : \gamma < \text{cf}(\beta)\}$, where $\beta_\gamma < \beta_{\gamma+1} < \beta$ for every $\gamma < \text{cf}(\beta)$. If $\text{cf}(\beta) > \aleph_0$, then by Tarski's formula, we get

$$\beta^{\aleph_0} = (\sup\{\beta_\gamma^+ : \gamma < \text{cf}(\beta)\})^{\aleph_0} = \sup\{(\beta_\gamma^+)^{\aleph_0} : \gamma < \text{cf}(\beta)\} = \beta.$$

So, it remains to show that $\text{cf}(\beta) > \aleph_0$. Assume the contrary: $\beta = \sup\{\beta_n : n < \omega\}$, where $\beta_n < \beta_k < \beta$ for every $n < k < \omega$. Let $L = \{u_\gamma : \gamma < \beta\}$ and $L_n = L \cap B_n$, where B_n is a subalgebra of B^C generated by the set $\{u_\gamma : \gamma < \beta_n\}$. Then every L_n is a sublattice of L and it has the following property:

- (1) if $u \in L_n$ and $-u \in L$, then $-u \in L_n$.

Now, for every $u \in L$ we define

$$i(u) = \min\{i : u \in L_i\}.$$

Since $L = \bigcup\{L_n : n < \omega\}$, the index $i(u)$ is well defined for every $u \in L$. Condition (1) follows that $i(u) = i(-u)$ for every $u \in B$; recall that $B \subset L$. We define by induction a sequence $\{z_n : n < \omega\} \subset B$ such that

- (2) $0 < z_{n+1} < z_n$ for every $n < \omega$,
 (3) $n < p$ implies $i(z_n) < i(z_p)$,
 (4) for every $n < \omega$, $|B \upharpoonright z_n| = \beta$,

where $B \upharpoonright z = \{x \in B : x \leq z\}$. Assume $z_0, \dots, z_n$ are just defined. Since $|L_{i(n)}| \leq \beta_{i(n)} < \beta$ and $|B \upharpoonright z_n| = \beta$, there exists $x \in B \upharpoonright z_n$ such that $x \notin L_{i(z_n)}$. Since the sequence $\{L_n : n < \omega\}$ is increasing we get

$$0 < x < z_n \text{ and } i(z_n) < i(x).$$

If $|B \upharpoonright x| = \beta$, we set $z_{n+1} = x$. If not, then $|B \upharpoonright z_n - x| = \beta$ and we set $z_{n+1} = z_n - x$. Since $i(u) = i(-u)$ for every $u \in B$ and $-x = -z_n \vee (z_n - x)$, $i(z_{n+1}) = i(x) > i(z_n)$. Now, for every $n < \omega$ we set

$$u_n = z_n - z_{n+1}.$$

The sequence $\{u_n : n < \omega\}$ consists of non-zero disjoint elements

of B and, by the condition (3), $i(u_n) = i(z_{n+1})$ for every $n < \omega$. Hence, the set $N = \{i(u_n) : n < \omega\}$ is infinite. There exist infinite pairwise disjoint sets N_k such that $N = \bigcup \{N_k : k < \omega\}$. Since the lattice L is upward σ -complete, for every $k < \omega$ there exists an element $s_k \in L$ such that

$$s_k = \sup \{u_n : i(u_n) \in N_k\}.$$

The set $\{s_k : k < \omega\}$ consists of disjoint elements and for every $k < \omega$ there exists $u_{n(k)} < s_k$ such that

$$(5) \quad i(u_{n(k)}) \geq \max \{k, i(s_k)\},$$

which follows from the fact that every set N_k contains arbitrary large indexes. Now, we set

$$w = \sup \{u_{n(k)} : k < \omega\}.$$

Note that $w \wedge s_k = u_{n(k)}$. Hence, by condition (5), $i(w) \geq i(u_{n(k)})$ for every $k < \omega$. Then, again by the condition (5), $i(w) \geq k$ for every $k < \omega$, which is absurd. The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] BŁASZCZYK A. "On the power of lattices of regular open sets", Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Sér. Math., to appear.
- [2] COMFORT W.W. and HAGER A.H. "Cardinality of σ -complete Boolean algebras", Pacific J. Math. 40 (1972), 541-545.
- [3] DOUWEN E.K.van and ZHOU H.-X. "The number of cozero-sets is an ω -power", Topology Appl., to appear.
- [4] KOPPELBERG S. "Homomorphic images of σ -complete Boolean algebras", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 171-175.
- [5] KOPPELBERG S. "Boolean algebras as union of chains of subalgebras", Algebra Universalis 7 (1977), 195-203.
- [6] MONK J.D. and SPARKS P.R. "Counting Boolean algebras", Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1971), 551.
- [7] PIERCE R.S. "A note on complete Boolean algebras", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1958), 892-896.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
SILESIAN UNIVERSITY
UL.BANKOWA 14
40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND