

Barbara Opozda

Totally real submanifolds of $S^6(1)$ with parallel second fundamental form

In: Zdeněk Frolík and Vladimír Souček and Marián J. Fabián (eds.): Proceedings of the 14th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1987. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 14. pp. [247]–253.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701900>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

TOTALLY REAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF $S^6(1)$ WITH PARALLEL SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM

Barbara Opozda

Introduction. Let $S^6(1)$ be the unit six-dimensional Euclidean sphere. The aim of this note is to prove the following result.

Theorem. Let M be a totally real submanifold of $S^6(1)$ with parallel second fundamental form. If $\dim M = 3$, then M is totally geodesic. If $\dim M = 2$ and M is minimal, then M is totally geodesic or locally flat.

Minimal submanifolds of spheres with parallel second fundamental form were studied, for instance, in [3] and [4].

Preliminaries. By using the cross-product in R^7 obtained as a restriction of the Cayley multiplication to the imaginary part of the Cayley algebra, we obtain an almost complex structure on $S^6(1)$ (see, for instance, [1], [2]). This almost complex structure will be denoted by J . If we denote by $(\ , \)$ the standard metric tensor field on $S^6(1)$, then $(S^6(1), J, (\ , \))$ is nearly Kählerian, i.e. $(\nabla' J)(X, X) = 0$, where ∇' is the Riemannian connection generated by $(\ , \)$. The skew-symmetric $(1,2)$ -tensor field J will be denoted by G . The following formulas are known [1], [2]:

$$(1.1) \quad (G(X, Y), Z) = -(G(X, Z), Y),$$

$$(1.2) \quad G(X, JY) = G(JX, Y) = -JG(X, Y),$$

$$(1.3) \quad (G(X, Y), G(Z, W)) = (X, Z)(Y, W) - (X, W)(Y, Z) + (JX, Z)(JW, Y) + (JX, W)(JY, Z),$$

$$(1.4) \quad (\nabla' G)(X, Y, Z) = (Y, JZ)X + G(X, Z)JY - (X, Y)JZ$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on $S^6(1)$.

The tangent bundle of a manifold N will be denoted by TN , the bundle of all unit tangent vectors by UN and the set of all vector fields on N , by $\mathfrak{F}(N)$. Let M be a submanifold of $S^6(1)$. $\mathcal{N}$ will denote the normal bundle of M in $S^6(1)$. The induced connections in the bundles TM and $\mathcal{N}$ will be denoted by ∇ and D respectively. R' , R and $R^\perp$ will denote the curvature tensors of the connections ∇' , ∇

and D respectively. We have the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten:

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla_X^1 Y = \nabla_X Y + \alpha(X, Y),$$

$$(1.6) \quad \nabla_X^1 \xi = D_X \xi - A_\xi X,$$

where α is the second fundamental form of M in $S^6(1)$, A is the Weingarten endomorphism and $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, ξ is a normal vector field on M . In the sequel we shall use the equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci which are given by

$$(1.7) \quad (R(X, Y)Z, W) = (X, W)(Y, Z) - (X, Z)(Y, W) + (\alpha(X, W), \alpha(Y, Z)) - (\alpha(X, Z), \alpha(Y, W)),$$

$$(1.8) \quad \nabla \alpha(X, Y, Z) = \nabla \alpha(Y, X, Z),$$

$$(1.9) \quad (R^\perp(X, Y)\xi, \eta) = ([A_\xi, A_\eta]X, Y),$$

for X, Y, Z, W tangent to M ; ξ, η normal to M .

Recall also that

$$(1.10) \quad \nabla^2 \alpha(X, Y, Z, W) - \nabla^2 \alpha(Y, X, Z, W) = R^\perp(X, Y)\alpha(Z, W) - \alpha(R(X, Y)Z, W) - \alpha(Z, R(X, Y)W)$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. A submanifold M in $S^6(1)$ is totally real if $JTM \in \mathcal{J}$. Of course, such a submanifold is 2 or 3-dimensional.

A 3-dimensional totally real submanifold of $S^6(1)$ is minimal [1].

In contrast with this case there are non-minimal

2-dimensional totally real submanifolds of $S^6(1)$. For instance, we know [1], that $S^3(1/16)$ can be imbedded in $S^6(1)$ as a totally real submanifold. Of course, it is not totally geodesic, so there is a vector X tangent to $S^3(1/16)$ such that $\alpha^1(X, X) \neq 0$, where α^1 is the second fundamental form of $S^3(1/16)$ in $S^6(1)$. Let

$M = S^3(1/16) \cap X^\perp$, where $X^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement to X in R^4 . Then M is totally geodesic in $S^3(1/16)$. Hence M can be imbedded in $S^6(1)$ as a totally real submanifold and such that its second form α in $S^6(1)$ is equal to $\alpha^1|_M$. Since $S^3(1/16)$ is minimal in $S^6(1)$ and $\alpha^1(X, X) \neq 0$, M is not minimal in $S^6(1)$.

Proof of Theorem. Assume M is 3-dimensional. It is known, [1], that

$$(2.1) \quad \{G(X, Y) : X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\} = \mathcal{J},$$

$$(2.2) \quad (\alpha(X, Y), JZ) = (\alpha(X, Z), JY)$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha(X, JG(Y, Z)) = JG(\alpha(X, Y), Z) + JG(Y, \alpha(X, Z))$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. The equality (2.2) implies

$$(2.4) \quad (\nabla \alpha(W, X, Z), JY) = (\nabla \alpha(W, X, Y), JZ) \\ = (\alpha(X, Y), G(W, Z)) - (\alpha(X, Z), G(W, Y))$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Taking account of (1.1) and (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha(X, Y), G(W, Z)) &= -(\alpha(X, JG(W, Z)), JY) = -(G(\alpha(X, W), Z), Y) \\
 &\quad - (G(W, \alpha(X, Z)), Y) = -(\alpha(X, W), G(Z, Y)) \\
 &\quad + (\alpha(X, Z), G(W, Y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.4) we get

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla \alpha(W, X, Z), JY) - (\nabla \alpha(W, X, Y), JZ) = (\alpha(X, W), G(Y, Z)).$$

Since $\nabla \alpha = 0$ and (2.1) holds, $\alpha = 0$.

Assume now, that M is 2-dimensional. We set

K - the Gaussian curvature of M ,

$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}$ - the orthogonal complement to $TM + JTM$ in $TS^6|_M$,

n - the projection onto $\mathcal{N}$ in $TS^6|_M = TM \oplus \mathcal{N}$,

t - the projection onto TM in $TS^6|_M = TM \oplus \mathcal{N}$,

p - the projection onto $TM + JTM$ in $TS^6|_M = (TM + JTM) \oplus \mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}$,

h - the projection onto $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}$ in $TS^6|_M = (TM + JTM) \oplus \mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}$.

Let V and U be an orthonormal basis in $T_x M$. By virtue of (1.1) and (1.2), $G(V, U) \in \mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}$. By formula (1.3) $G(V, U)$ is unit. If $\bar{V}, \bar{U}$ is another orthonormal frame at x , then $\bar{V} = \beta_1 V + \beta_2 U$, $\bar{U} = \pm(-\beta_2 V + \beta_1 U)$, where $\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 = 1$ and consequently $G(\bar{V}, \bar{U}) = \pm G(V, U)$. This means that $\text{im } G$ defines a 1-dimensional vector subbundle of $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}$ and M is orientable iff this bundle is trivial. Taking account of (1.5) and (1.6), we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad D_X JY = G(X, Y) + nJ\alpha(X, Y) + J\nabla_X Y,$$

$$(2.7) \quad A_{JY} X = -tJ\alpha(X, Y)$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. The last equation implies

$$(2.8) \quad (\alpha(X, Y), JZ) = (\alpha(X, Z), JY)$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Let $x \in M$ and let M' be an oriented open neighbourhood of x . If $V \in UM'$, then U will denote the vector from UM' such that the pair (V, U) is positively oriented. We denote by ξ the vector $G(V, U)$ which, of course, does not depend of the choice of V . If $V \in UM'_x$, then $V, U, J V, J U, \xi, J \xi$ is an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{N}_x$. Since moreover M is minimal, we have

$$\alpha(V, V) = a_1(V)JV + a_2(V)JU + a_3(V)\xi + a_4(V)J\xi$$

$$\alpha(V, U) = a_2(V)JV - a_1(V)JU + c(V)\xi + d(V)J\xi$$

for some real numbers $a_1(V), a_2(V), a_3(V), a_4(V), c(V), d(V)$.

Moreover $\|p\alpha\|^2 = 4(a_1(V)^2 + a_2(V)^2)$ and $\|h\alpha\|^2 = 2(a_3(V)^2 + a_4(V)^2 + c(V)^2 + d(V)^2)$. The following equalities are obvious

$$(2.9) \quad G(\xi, U) = -V,$$

$$G(\xi, V) = U,$$

$$G(J\xi, U) = JV,$$

$$G(J\xi, V) = -JU.$$

Let $V \in UM'_x$ and let γ_1, γ_2 be geodesics in M' determined by

(V, x) and (U, x) respectively. V, U will denote also vector fields defined along γ_1 and γ_2 and parallel with respect to ∇ . Then $a_1(V)$, $a_2(V)$, $a_3(V)$, $a_4(V)$, $c(V)$, $d(V)$ are functions defined along γ_1 and γ_2 , and they will be denoted by a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4 , c , d respectively. By a straightforward computation and by using (1.4), (1.5), (1.6), (2.9), we obtain

$$-JU = \nabla^1 G(V, V, U) = -A_{\xi} V + a_3 V + cU + D_V \xi - a_4 JV - dJU, \\ \text{i.e.}$$

$$(2.10) \quad D_V \xi = a_4 JV + (d-1)JU.$$

Of course $(D_V J\xi, J\xi) = 0$, and by (2.10) $(D_V \xi, J\xi) = 0$, i.e.

$(D_V J\xi, \xi) = 0$. Consequently $D_V J\xi \in JTM$ and, by (2.6),

$$(2.11) \quad D_V J\xi = -a_3 JV - cJU.$$

Similarly we get

$$JV = \nabla^1 G(U, V, U) = -A_{\xi} U + cV - a_3 U + D_U \xi - dJV + a_4 JU, \\ \text{i.e.}$$

$$(2.12) \quad D_U \xi = (1+d)JV - a_4 JU.$$

Like in the previous case, we have

$$(2.13) \quad D_U J\xi = -cJV + a_3 JU.$$

By virtue of (2.10) - (2.13), we obtain the following formulas

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla} \alpha(V, V, V) = & (Va_1)JV + (Va_2)JU + a_3(d-1)JU - ca_4JU \\ & + (Va_3)\xi - a_1a_4\xi + (1-d)a_2\xi + (Va_4)J\xi \\ & + a_1a_3J\xi + a_2cJ\xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla} \alpha(V, V, U) = & (Va_2)JV + ca_4JV - da_3JV - (Va_1)JU - cJU \\ & + (Vc)\xi + a_1(d-1)\xi - a_2a_4\xi + (Vd)J\xi \\ & + a_2a_3J\xi - ca_1J\xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla} \alpha(U, V, V) = & (Ua_1)JV + a_3(1+d)JV - a_4cJV + (Ua_2)JU \\ & + (Ua_3)\xi + a_2a_4\xi - a_1(d+1)\xi + (Ua_4)J\xi \\ & + ca_1J\xi - a_2a_3J\xi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla} \alpha(U, V, U) = & (Ua_2)JV + cJV - (Ua_1)JU - ca_4JU + da_3JU \\ & + (Uc)\xi - a_2(d+1)\xi - a_1a_4\xi + (Ud)J\xi \\ & + a_2cJ\xi + a_1a_3J\xi. \end{aligned}$$

By comparing (2.14) and (2.17), and using (1.8) we obtain at x

$$Va_2 - Ua_1 = 2ca_4 - 2da_3 + a_3, \\ \text{and by (2.15), (2.16), (1.8)}$$

$$Va_2 - Ua_1 = 2da_3 - 2ca_4 + a_3.$$

Therefore $ca_4 = da_3$ at x . Of course this formula is valid on the whole UM. Now, formulas (2.14) - (2.17) can be rewritten in the following form

$$(2.18) \quad \nabla\alpha(V, V, V) = (Va_1)JV + (Va_2)JU - a_3JU + (Va_3)\xi - a_1a_4\xi \\ + (1-d)a_2\xi + (Va_4)J\xi + a_1a_3J\xi + a_2cJ\xi,$$

$$(2.19) \quad \nabla\alpha(V, V, U) = (Va_2)JV - (Va_1)JU - cJU + (Vc)\xi + a_1(d-1)\xi \\ - a_2a_4\xi + (Vd)J\xi + a_2a_3J\xi - ca_1J\xi,$$

$$(2.20) \quad \nabla\alpha(U, V, V) = (Ua_1)JV + a_3JV + (Ua_2)JU + (Ua_3)\xi + a_2a_4\xi \\ - a_1(d+1)\xi + (Ua_4)J\xi + ca_1J\xi - a_2a_3J\xi,$$

$$(2.21) \quad \nabla\alpha(U, V, U) = (Ua_2)JV + cJV - (Ua_1)JU + (Uc)\xi - a_2(d+1)\xi \\ - a_1a_4\xi + (Ud)J\xi + a_2cJ\xi + a_1a_3J\xi.$$

Since $ca_4 = da_3$, we have $(h\alpha(V, V), Jh\alpha(V, U)) = 0$. It follows that the vectors $h\alpha(V, V)$ and $h\alpha(V, U)$ are proportional and consequently $\dim \operatorname{im} h\alpha = 1$. Consider the function

$$\chi: UM_x \ni X \longrightarrow \|h\alpha(X, X)\|^2.$$

If V is a vector in which this function attains its maximum, then $(h\alpha(V, V), h\alpha(V, U)) = 0$. For this vector $h\alpha(V, U) = 0$ and consequently $(\alpha(V, V), \alpha(V, U)) = 0$. Moreover

$$\|\alpha(V, V)\|^2 = a_1(V)^2 + a_2(V)^2 + a_3(V)^2 + a_4(V)^2 = \frac{\|p\alpha\|^2}{4} + \frac{\|h\alpha\|^2}{2},$$

$$\|\alpha(V, U)\|^2 = a_1(V)^2 + a_2(V)^2 = \frac{\|p\alpha\|^2}{4}.$$

The above formulas and the equation of Ricci give

$$(R^\perp(V, U)\alpha(V, V), \alpha(V, U)) = 2\{(\alpha(V, V), \alpha(V, U))^2 \\ - \alpha(V, V)^2 \alpha(V, U)^2\} = -\frac{\|p\alpha\|^2}{2} \left(\frac{\|p\alpha\|^2}{4} + \frac{\|h\alpha\|^2}{2} \right)$$

$$\text{By the equation of Gauss } K = 1 - \frac{\|p\alpha\|^2}{2} - \frac{\|h\alpha\|^2}{2}.$$

Consequently

$$(2.22) \quad (R^\perp(V, U)\alpha(V, V), \alpha(V, U)) = -\frac{\|p\alpha\|^2}{2} \left(1 - K - \frac{\|p\alpha\|^2}{4} \right).$$

It is easy to check that $(R^\perp(V, U)\alpha(V, V), \alpha(V, U))$ does not depend of the choice of V and hence (2.22) holds for any $V \in UM$.

Now we shall use the assumption $\nabla\alpha = 0$. By virtue of (2.18) - (2.21) we see that $Va_1 = 0$, $Va_2 = 0$, $Ua_1 = 0$, $Ua_2 = 0$ and $a_3 = c = 0$ at x . Since $x \in M$ and $V \in UM_x$ are arbitrary, $a_3 = c = 0$ on the whole UM . Using once again formulas (2.18) and (2.19), we obtain

$$(2.23) \quad a_1(V) a_4(V) = (1-d(V)) a_2(V), \\ a_2(V) a_4(V) = (d(V)-1) a_1(V)$$

for every $V \in UM$. If for every $V \in UM_x$ $a_4(V) = 0$, then

(2.24) $(1-d(V)) a_2(V) = (d(V)-1) a_1(V) = 0$ for every $V \in UM_x$. But there is a vector $V \in UM_x$ (in which χ attains a maximum) such that $d(V) = 0$. For such a vector V , by (2.24), $a_1(V) = a_2(V) = 0$, i.e. $\|p\alpha\|_x = 0$. Assume now that there exists a vector $V \in UM_x$ such that $a_4(V) \neq 0$. The formulas (2.23) imply the equality

$a_1(V)^2 a_4(V) + a_2(V)^2 a_4(V) = 0$. Hence $\|p\alpha\|_x^2 = 0$. Consequently $\|p\alpha\| = 0$ on M . Since $\nabla\alpha = 0$, (1.10) gives

$$0 = R^\perp(V, U)\alpha(V, V) - 2\alpha(R(V, U)V, V),$$

for any $V \in UM$. By virtue of (2.22), the obvious equality

$A_{J\xi} V = a_4(V)V + d(V)U$ and the fact that $\alpha(V, U) = d(V)J\xi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\alpha(R(V, U)V, V), \alpha(V, U)) = (A_{J\xi} V, R(V, U)V) \\ &= d(V) (A_{J\xi} V, R(V, U)V) = -d(V)^2 K_x \text{ for } V \in UM_x, \end{aligned}$$

$x \in M$. Hence $d(V) = 0$ for every $V \in UM_x$ or $K_x = 0$. In the first case

$a_4(V) = 0$ for every $V \in UM_x$. (If we put $\bar{V} = \frac{V+U}{2}$, then $d(\bar{V}) = a_4(V)$) Then $\alpha_x = 0$. The assumption $\nabla\alpha = 0$ and the Gauss equation imply that M has constant Gaussian curvature. Hence $K = 0$ on the whole M or M is totally geodesic. The proof is completed.

Examples. It is easy to find 2 and 3-dimensional great spheres in $S^6(1)$ which are totally real. Now let M be the pythagorean

product $S^1(1/2) \times S^1(1/2)$ (see [4] . Example 5.3). Then M is a minimal submanifold of $S^3(1)$ with parallel second fundamental form ([4] , Ex. 5.3, Lemma 5.2). Since $S^3(1)$ can be imbedded in $S^6(1)$ as a totally real totally geodesic submanifold, M can be imbedded in $S^6(1)$ as a totally real minimal submanifold with parallel second fundamental form. Of course M is locally flat.

Remark. If M is an almost complex submanifold of $S^6(1)$ with parallel second fundamental form, then M is totally geodesic. It follows from the formula (4.13) in [2] .

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Ejiri, Totally real submanifold in a 6-sphere, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (4) 1981, 759-763.
- [2] K. Sekigawa, Almost complex submanifolds of a 6-dimensional

sphere, Kodai Math. J. 6(1983), 174-185.

[3] K. Yano, M. Kon, Generic submanifolds, Annali di Mat., 123 (1980), 59-92.

[4] K. Yano, M. Kon, CR Submanifolds of Kaehlerian and Sasakian Manifolds, Progress in Math. Vol. 30, Birkhäuser, 1983.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI
UNIwersytet Jagiellonski
UL. REYMONTA 4
30-059 KRAKOW